# Prevalence of cervicitis and outcome: A hospital based study

A K Bhirud<sup>1\*</sup>, T U Bendale<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Associate Professor, Department of OBGY, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College and Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, INDIA. **Email:** <u>kiranbhirud@yahoo.com</u>

## Abstract

Introduction: Cervicitis was first recognized as an important clinical entity in 1984 by Brunham et al.1 Cervicitis is a frequently asymptomatic, inflammatory condition of the cervix 4 It is common with rates as high as 30-45% in some STI clinic populations Cervicitis is thought to play an important role in the transmission of HIV infection, by increasing susceptibility to HIV infection and increased HIV viral Shedding. The association of genital ulceration, particularly HSV related, with increased risk of HIV transmission risk is well recognized Aims and Objectives: to study the pattern of various RTI's among symptomatic and asymptomatic every married women and to evaluate the Out Come of Cervicitis patients Methodology : This is prospective study of all the women attending the Gynecology OPD with suspected of Cervicitis ; with or without the vaginal discharge and with or without symptoms were included Total 283 patients were enrolled into the study **Result**: Overallmajority of the patients were from 20-29 In that Maximum symptomatic patients were also from 20-29 followed by 30-39,50 and above.40-49, and less than 19 years age group. Majoriy of the Symptomatic patients were having discharge i..e 113(90.60%) out of all patients having discharge than asymptomatic patients having the discharge i.e. 12 (9.60%) out of 125 this observed difference was statistically significant. The causes of cervicitis were Candidiasis, Trichomoniasis, Bacterial Vaginosis, Mixed Infection in the decreasing order, in that majority of the Trichomonas patients were symptomatic i.e. 96.30% while mostly the mixed infections were asymptomatic (32.04%). Majority of the patients suffered from the bacterial vaginosis were recovered ie 100% while persistence of problem was most common in Mixed infections followed by candidiasis 33.76% and Trichomoniasis 22.10%. Conlclusions: Symptomatic cases are mainly due to Trichomoniasis, bacterial vaginosis and they having good outcome while asyptomatic cases were mostly Mixed or Candial infection and they are having unsatisfactory outcome so all the patients with asymptomatic infections should be treated cautiously with imperial antimicrobial agent. Keyword: Cervicitis, STDs.

### \*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. A K Bhirud, Associate Professor, Department of OBGY, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College and Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, INDIA. **Email:** <u>kiranbhirud@yahoo.com</u>

Received Date: 15/07/2015 Revised Date: 22/08/2015 Accepted Date: 01/09/2015



# **INTRODUCTION**

Cervicitis was first recognized as an important clinical entity in 1984 by Brunham *et al.*1 Cervicitis is a frequently asymptomatic, inflammatory condition of the cervix 4 It is common with rates as high as 30–45% in some STI clinic populations <sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup> and is generally considered to be associated with sexually transmissible pathogens 1,23,4, 56,7. However, Chlamydiaand Neisseria gonorrhoea account for less than half ofcervicitis cases, with a largely undefined etiology in the remainder 1,2-8,9. Reproductive tract infections (RTIs) are a major cause of gynecological morbidity all over the world1 In developing countries, the morbidity and mortality due to RTIs is very high. The consequences of RTI's are several and may be severe in some cases<sup>10,11</sup>. Cervicitis is thought to play an important role in the transmission of HIV infection, bv increasing susceptibility to HIV infection and increased HIV viral shedding. The association of genital ulceration, particularly HSV related, with increased risk of HIV transmission risk is well recognized<sup>12</sup>

# AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

To study the pattern of various RTI's among symptomatic and asymptomatic every married women and to evaluate the Out Come of Cervicitis patients.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This is prospective study of all the women attending the Gynecology OPD with suspected of Cervicitis ; with or without the vaginal discharge and with or without symptoms were included into the study those below the 18 and who does not consented were excluded from the study. The cervical smear were examined for Microbiological examination by Gram Staining. Total 283 patients were enrolled into the study.

### RESULTS

Table 1: Distribution of subjects as per age

Age (yrs)	Symptomatic	Asymptomatic	Total
	women	women	Total
≤19*	8 (40.00%)	12 (60.00%)	20 (100%)
20-29	101 (53.70)	87 (47.30%)	188(100%)
30-39	21(52.50%)	19 (48.50%)	40(100%)
40-49	10 (45.40)	12 (55.60%)	22(100%)
50 and	6 (46.10)	7 (5 4 0 00/)	12(1000/)
above		7 (54.90%)	13(100%)
Total	146 ((51.60)	137 (49.40%)	283(100%)
1 10 11 4	0 11 1 1	0.1	<u> </u>

In **Table 1:** Overallmajority of the patients were from 20-29In that Maximum symptomatic patients were also from 20-29 followed by 30-39, 50 and above, 40-49, and less than 19 years age group.

Table 2: Distribution of women with and without persistent

vaginal discharge					
Presence of vaginal discharge	Symptomatic women (N=136)	Asymptomatic women (N=127)	Total		
Persistent vaginal discharge	113 (90.40%)	12 (9.60%)	125 (100%)		
No persistent vaginal discharge	33 (20.00%)	125 (79.11%)	158(100%)		
Total	136 (48.05%)	127(44.87%)	283(100%)		
x <sup>2</sup> 117 - 0005					

X<sup>2</sup>=117, p<0.005

In **Table 2:** Majoriy of the Symptomatic patients were having discharge i. e. 113(90.60%) out of all patients having discharge than asymptomatic patients having the discharge i.e. 12 (9.60%) out of 125 this observed difference was statistically significant.

 Table 3: Distribution of infections found by wet smear/Gram stain

 examination

examination					
Symptomatic women (N=108)	Asymptomatic women (N =16)	Total			
39 (90.70%)	4 (10.30%)	43 (100%)			
26 (96.30%)	1 (3.70%)	27(100%)			
23 (92.00%)	2 (8.00%)	25(100%)			
20(68.96%)	9 (32.04%)	29(100%)			
108 (87.00%)	16 (13.00%)	124(100%)			
	women (N=108) 39 (90.70%) 26 (96.30%) 23 (92.00%) 20(68.96%)	women (N=108)         Asymptomatic women (N =16)           39 (90.70%)         4 (10.30%)           26 (96.30%)         1 (3.70%)           23 (92.00%)         2 (8.00%)           20(68.96%)         9 (32.04%)			

From **Table 3**: the causes of cervicitis were Candidiasis, Trichomoniasis, Bacterial Vaginosis, Mixed Infection in the decreasing order, in that majority of the Trichomonas patients were symptomatic i.e. 96.30% while mostly the mixed infections were asymptomatic (32.04%).

Table 4: Outcome of Cervicitis with respect to infective agent					
Smear examination	Recovered	Persistant of discharge	Total		
Candidiasis	36 (67.34%)	13 (33.76%)	49 (100%)		
Trichomoniasis	24(88.90%)	3 (22.10%)	27(100%)		
Bacterial Vaginosis	25 (100.00%)	0 (00.00%)	25(100%)		
Mixed Infection	15 (65.20%)	8 (35.80%)	23(100%)		
Total	94 (75.80%)	21 (35.20%)	124(100%)		

From Table 4: Majority of the patients suffered from the bacterial vaginos is were recovered i.e. 100% while persistence of problem was most common in Mixed infections followed by candidiasis 33.76% and Trichomoniasis 22.10%.

### DISCUSSION

In Table 1: Overall majority of the patients were from 20-29 In that Maximum symptomatic patients were also from 20-29 followed by 30-39,50 and above,40-49, and less than 19 years age group. This could be due to the reason that mostly the women are sexually active in this age group and cervicitis is caused due to the part of STD infection and in the age group of 50 and above found more because of the reason that vaginal P<sup>H</sup> Changes after the age of 50leading to prone for the infection. In Table 2: Majoriv of the Symptomatic patients were having discharge i.e. 113(90.60%) out of all patients having discharge than asymptomatic patients having the discharge ie 12 (9.60%) out of 125, this observed difference was statistically significant as vaginal discharge is more likely to be with the symptoms like pain, itching, foul smelling etc. In the present study, frequency of women with candidiasis, trichomoniasis and bacterial vaginosis differs from the findings reported by Singh et al  $(1995)^{13}$  and Garg et al  $(2002)^{14}$  From Table3: the causes of cervicitis were Candidiasis, Trichomoniasis, Bacterial Vaginosis, Mixed Infection in the decreasing order in that majority of the Trichomonas patients were symptomatic i.e. 96.30% while mostly the mixed infections were asymptomatic (32.04%). That is the reason that Trichomonas infections get diagnosed earlier while the mix infections remains latent causing mixed etiology of the infections. From Table 4: Majority of the patients suffered from the bacterial vaginosis were recovered ie 100% while persistence of problem was most common in Mixed infections followed by candidiasis 33.76% and Trichomoniasis 22.10% this can be explained that the as the Trichomonas and Bacterial vaginosis infections are diagnosed and get treated earlier due to symptomatic nature while candidiasis and mixed infections remains undetected and improper treatment is the reason of their less recovery and also the pathogen fungi are more resistant to the antimicrobial therapy.

### REFERENCE

- Brunham R, Paavonen J, Stevens CE, et al. Mucopurulent cervicitis – the ignored counterpart in women of urethritis in men. N Engl J Med 1984; 311:1–6.
- 2. Marrazzo JM, Martin D. Management of women with cervicitis. Clin Infect Dis2007; 44 (suppl 3):102–110.
- Lee DM, Chen MY, Bradshaw CS, Fairley CK. Is routine vaginal examinationnecessary for asymptomatic women attending sexual health services? Int JSTD AIDS 2006; 9:631–633.
- 4. Holmes KK, Stamm WE. Lower genital tract infections in women. In: HolmesKK, Mardh PA, Sparling PF, et al., editors. Sexually transmitted diseases, 3rded. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1999. pp. 761–781.
- Manhart LE, Critchlow CW, Holmes KK, et al. Mucopurulent cervicitis and Mycoplasma genitalium. J Inf Dis 2003; 187:650–657.
- Marrazzo JM. Mucopurulent cervicitis: no longer ignored, but still misunderstood. Infect Dis Clin N Am 2005; 19:333–349.
- 7. Marrazzo JM, Wiesenfeld HC, Murray PJ, et al. Risk factors for cervicitis among women with bacterial vaginosis. J Inf Dis 2006; 193:617–624.

- Marrazzo JM, Handsfield HH, Whittington WLH. Predicting cervical infection: implications for management of cervicitis. ObstetGynae 2002; 100:579– 584.
- 9. Nyirjesy P. Nongonococcal and nonchlamydial cervicitis. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2001; 3:540 545.
- GargS,Sharma N, Bhalla P, Sahay R, Saha R, Raina U et al. Reproductive morbidity in an Indian urban slum: Need for health action, Sexually transmitted infections, 2002;78:68-69
- 11. Sahay R, Bhalla P, Garg S, MehraMalti An epidemiological and sociological study of symptomatic and asymptomatic RTI and STI among women in an urban slum Report on task force project: Special programme of research development and training in human reproduction, Maulana Azad Medical College New Delhi 2000
- Corey L, Wald A, Celum CL, Quinn TC. The effects of herpes simplex virus-2 on HIV-1 acquisition and transmission: a review of 2 overlapping epidemics. J AIDS 2004; 35:435–445
- Singh V, Sehgal A, Satyanarayana L, Gupta M M, Parashari A, Chattopadhya D. Clinical presentation of gynecological infections among Indian women; Sexually transmitted diseases, 1995; 22:25-30
- Garg S, Sharma N, Bhalla P, Sahay R, Saha R , Raina U et al. Reproductive morbidity in an Indian urban slum: Need for health action, Sexually transmitted infections, 2002;78:68-69.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared