# Comparison of haemodynamic changes during laproscopic surgery and postoperative analgesia while using fentanyl and butorphanol as a premedication

Vishal Shrimali<sup>1</sup>, Bhavin Patel<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>2</sup>Senior Resident, Department of Anesthesiology, GMERS Medical College, Gotri, Vadodara-390021, INDIA. **Email:** <u>vishalshrimali@gmail.com</u> <u>drbhavin007@gmail.com</u>

Abstract Back ground and Aim: Laproscopy surgery has advantages like minimal tissue trauma, reduced post operative complication and early recovery to normal activity. Laproscopy requires pneumoperitoneum which causes various cardiovascular and respiratory derangements especially tachycardia, hypertension, increased central venous pressure, direct myocardial depression. There are various pharmacological agents used during laproscopic surgery for haemodynamic stability like B-blockers, nitroglycerine, alpha2-agonist (dexmedetomidine, clonidine), opioids e.g(fentanyl, sufentanyl, morphine, butorphanol). We carried out the present study to compare the effect of Butorphanol and Fentanyl as a premedication on haemodynamic changes during laproscopic cholecystectomy surgeries and postoperative analgesia. Methodology: Fifty patients posted for laproscopis cholecystectomy surgeries of ASA grade I and II, 20-40 years age of either sex were selected for this study. They were randomly divided in two groups of 25. Group B Received Inj. Butorphanol 40 mcg/kg and Group F received Inj.Fentanyl 2 mcg/kg as a premedication. Haemodynamic parameter(pulse rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure,etco2) was measured during surgery. Postoperative pain was assessed by VAS score and analgesia given only when the score was  $\geq 5$ . Results: It was Observed that much more stable haemodynamic parameters seen during surgeries in Group B as compared to Group F. Requirement of First dose of analgesic was significantly longer in group B[3hrs] than group F[30 min]. Conclusion: This study concluded that Butorphanol is a useful alternative to Fentanyl as a premedication because of its ability to produce stable haemodynamic changes during surgeries and longer postoperative analgesia. Key Word: Butorphanol, Fentanyl, Laproscopy.

\*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Bhavin Patel, B/19, Navrang Society, Karodiya Road, Gorwa, Vadodara-390016, INDIA. **Email:** <u>drbhavin007@gmail.com</u> Received Date: 07/02/2019 Revised Date: 10/04/2019 Accepted Date: 01/05/2019 DOI: https://doi.org/10.26611/10151027



## **INTRODUCTION**

laproscopic surgery also called as minimal invasive surgery, in which operation were performed through

small incisions. laproscopically examining the peritoneal cavity was first attempted in 1901 by George kelling who called this examining procedure "celioscoy". First laproscopic surgery on human was done in 1987 by French doctor mouret. laproscopy has been promoted aggressively as gentle surgery and has advantages like minimal tissue trauma, reduced post-operative complication and early recovery to normal activity. Laproscopy requires pneumoperitoneum for good visualization.CO2 is most commonly gas used, other gases used are air, helium, nitrogen. Pneumoperitoneum causes various cardiovascular and respiratory derangements. On cardiovascular system it causes tachycardia, hypertension, increased central venous pressure, direct myocardial depression and increase in

How to site this article: Vishal Shrimali, Bhavin Patel. Comparison of haemodynamic changes during laproscopic surgery and postoperative analgesia while using fentanyl and butorphanol as a premedication. *MedPulse International Journal of Anesthesiology*. May 2019; 10(2): 100-106. http://medpulse.in/Anesthsiology/index.php

after load-catecholamines, vasopressin, renin angiotensin activity. There are various pharmacological agents used during laproscopic surgery for haemodynamic stability like **B**-blockers, nitroglycerine, alpha2opioids agonist(dexmedetomidine, clonidine), e.g(fentanyl, sufentanyl, morphine, butorphanol). Narcotic drugs blunts the effect of haemodynamic changes which is created by pneumoperitoneum during laproscopic surgery. Narcotic analgesia is widely used as an adjuvant to general anaesthesia. This acts to smoothen the intra operative course, decreases requirements of other anaesthetic agent, smoothen recovery and minimize postoperative pain. Butorphanol is synthetically derived opioid having agonist-antagonist action on its receptors. It is agonist at k-receptor and partial antagonist at ureceptor. It causes decrease in heart rate and blood pressure. It also produces sedation by its action on kreceptor and analgesia action by acting on k-receptor and u-receptor. Fentanyl is synthetic opioid. It is u-receptor agonist, it acts on u -receptor at supra-spinal sites and produces analgesia. Fentanyl is a cardio-stable drug. Both of these drugs causes decrease in pulse rate and blood pressure but the effect is more intense in Butorphanol drug. Aim of our study were to compare Butorphanol and Fentanyl as a premedication in laproscopic surgery in terms of haemodynamic changes (pulse and Blood pressure, Etco2) and postoperative sedation and analgesia.

## **MATERIALS AND METHOD**

This prospective randomised double blind study was conducted after approval from our institution (gmers medical college, Baroda) and written informed consent from the patients. For this study 50 adult patients undergoing, laproscopic cholecystectomy surgeries of age group 20-60 years of either sex, ASA I and II were selected. Exclusion criteria were age <20 years and >40 years, ASA III and IV, history of drug allergy, pregnant or lactating women, patients having any cardiac disease, patients having upper respiratory tract infection. All the patients were thoroughly investigated. All the patients received Tab. Diazepam(10 mg) and Tab. Rantac (150 mg) orally on previous night of surgery. Patients were kept nil by mouth for 8 hours before surgery. On the day of surgery after taking written informed consent, patients were premedicated with inj. Glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg IM 30 minutes before induction of anaesthesia and inj. Ranitidine 50 mg and inj. Ondansetron 4 mg were given IV. Monitors were attached and all baseline parameters pulse rate, blood pressure, spo2, after intubation Etco2 was also measured. Four minutes before induction of anaesthesia, patients divided into two groups. Group B received inj. Butorphanol 40 mcg/kg intravenously while

Grouop F received inj. Fentanyl 2 mcg/kg intravenously as premedication. Patients were preoxygenated for 3 minute with 100% o2 through bain's circuit. All the patients were induced with inj. propofol 2.5 -3 mg/kg IV till loss of eye reflex, inj. succinyl choline 1.5 -2 mg/kg IV followed by tracheal intubation. Anaesthesia was maintained with controlled ventilation with 02and N2o (50:50), isoflurane(0.2 -1.5%) and intermittent inj. vecuronium bromide (0.02 mg/kg) IV. Intra-abdominal pressure was kept between 11-15 mm of hg. Throughout course of anaesthesia patients were monitored for haemodynamic parameters pulse, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, Etco2, and Spo2.After giving drug, after intubation, every 3 minute after intubation till 20 minutes. Before pneumoperitonium, 15 min interval till 1 hour of pneumoperitonium, after release of CO2, after extubation. After completion of surgery, patients were reversed with inj. Neostigmine50 mcg/kg IV and inj. Glycopyrrolate 10mcg/kg IV. In the postoperative period, each patient was monitored for haemodynamic parameters, sedation score, VAS score for pain relief and post-operative complications like nausea, vomiting, rashes, excitement, dizziness. urinary retention. respiratory depression, pruritus.

|   | Table1: Sedation score                              |
|---|---|
| 1 | Awake and alert                                     |
| 2 | Sedated and responding to verbal command            |
| 3 | Sedated but responding to mild physical stimulus    |
| 4 | Drowsy but responding to moderate physical stimulus |
| 5 | Very drowsy not responding to severe physical       |
| 5 | stimulus  |

## RESULTS

Study of adult patients of either sex, ASA I II, undergoing laproscopic cholecystectomy. They were divided randomly into two groups, Group B received inj. Butorphanol 40 mcg/kg IV and Group F received inj. Fentanyl 2 mcg/kg IV. All parameters and variables were subjected to statistical analysis using unpaired t-test and chi square test and p-value(>0.05 insignificant, <0.001 highly significant).As shown in table:2 ,there were no difference in age ,sex, weight, ASA grading and duration of surgery in both groups.

| Table 2: Demographic Data: |            |             |              |  |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--|
|                            | Group B    | Group F     | P value      |  |
| Age (years)                | 38.50±8.40 | 43.75±10.94 | >0.05        |  |
| Sex : Male                 | 11(44%)    | 13(52%)     | <u>\0 05</u> |  |
| Female                     | 14(56%)    | 12(48%)     | >0.05        |  |
| Weight(kg)                 | 51.87±4.57 | 50.96±5.72  | >0.05        |  |
| ASA I                      | 18(72%)    | 16(64%)     | 5 0 OF       |  |
| II                         | 0 7(28%)   | 09(36%)     | >0.05        |  |

#### Vishal Shrimali, Bhavin Patel

| Table 3: Pre-operative vital parameters |             |              |       |  |  |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------|--|--|
| Group B Group F P value                 |             |              |       |  |  |
| Pulse rate(per minute)                  | 86.66±8.84  | 88.33±8.64   | >0.05 |  |  |
| Blood Pressure(mm of hg):               |             |              |       |  |  |
| SBP                                     | 120.08±8.01 | 122.67±10.10 | >0.05 |  |  |
| DBP                                     | 80.66±5.44  | 80.75±6.20   |       |  |  |
| Spo2                                    | 99.08±0.02  | 99.04±0.03   | >0.05 |  |  |

The preoperative value of pulse, systolic blood pressure, diastolic pressure in both the groups were shown in table:3, suggestive that both groups were comparable.

| Table 4: Changes in pulse rate |                         |              |             |         |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
|                                |                         | Group B      | Group F     | P value |
| Pre-op                         |                         | 86.66±8.84   | 88.83±8.64  | >0.05   |
| Before giving drug             |                         | 86.66±8.40   | 88.83±8.522 | >0.05   |
| After giving drug              |                         | 86.00±8.45   | 88±10.809   | >0.05   |
| After Intubation               |                         | 86.16±7.96   | 83.583±8.87 | >0.05   |
| Intra-operative                | 3 min                   | 83.00±6.09   | 82.91±11.49 | >0.05   |
|                                | 6 min                   | 81.00±5.49   | 82.32±10.95 | >0.05   |
|                                | 9 min                   | 79.00±6.17   | 79.83±8.263 | >0.05   |
|                                | 12 min                  | 77.75±5.48   | 81.83±8.036 | <0.05   |
|                                | 15 min                  | 77.75±5.76   | 84.083±8.89 | <0.05   |
|                                | 20 min                  | 78.91±5.39   | 83.565±9.81 | <0.05   |
|                                | Before Pneumoperitoneum | 80.25±5.95   | 85.63±6.951 | <0.05   |
|                                | 15 min                  | 81.33±6.74   | 88.83±11.25 | <0.05   |
|                                | 30 min                  | 87.5±10.63   | 83.58±12.46 | <0.05   |
|                                | 45 min                  | 83.83±5.35   | 89.00±10.06 | <0.05   |
|                                | 60 min                  | 84.25±5.17   | 88.72±9.845 | <0.05   |
|                                | After CO2 release       | 84.917±6.089 | 88.17±10.1  | <0.05   |
|                                | After Extubation        | 85.83±5.020  | 92.75±10.9  | <0.05   |
| Post-operative                 | Immediate               | 87.00±6.180  | 91.83±9.39  | <0.05   |
|                                | 15 min                  | 86.50±5.10   | 90.838±8.39 | <0.05   |
|                                | 30 min                  | 86.86±4.408  | 91.00±8.43  | <0.05   |
|                                | 1 hour                  | 87.33±4.29   | 91.16±7.43  | <0.05   |
|                                | 2 hour                  | 86.583±4.112 | 90.91±7.45  | <0.05   |
|                                | 3 hour                  | 87.33±4.665  | 91.00±7.36  | >0.05   |
|                                | 4 hour                  | 88.83±5.86   | 88.83±6.89  | >0.05   |

As shown in table; 4 comparing both groups, no significant changes in pulse rate were seen till 9 min after intubation, however significant difference in pulse rate was started at 12 min after intubation and persisted till 2nd hour of postoperative period. No statistical difference was found between both the groups at 3rd and 4th hour of postoperative period.

|                    | Table 5: Systolic blood pressure |              |               |         |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------|
|                    |                                  | Group B      | Group F       | P value |
| Pre-op             |                                  | 120.08±8.018 | 122.67±10.10  | >0.05   |
| Before giving drug |                                  | 119.75±8.019 | 122.58±9.545  | >0.05   |
| After giving drug  |                                  | 118.583±8.89 | 116.208±9.412 | >0.05   |
| After Intubation   |                                  | 115.41±7.729 | 114.17±8.957  | >0.05   |
| Intra-operative    | 3 min                            | 114.00±6.38  | 113.00±11.49  | >0.05   |
|                    | 6 min                            | 112.58±7.95  | 112.83±10.767 | >0.05   |
|                    | 9 min                            | 112.916±7.79 | 113.84±11.84  | >0.05   |
|                    | 12 min                           | 112.25±6.70  | 118.00±11.49  | <0.05   |
|                    | 15 min                           | 119.41±9.79  | 127.50±8.539  | <0.05   |
|                    | 20 min                           | 114.00±7.651 | 121.52±9.549  | <0.05   |
|                    | Before Pneumoperitoneum          | 115.30±9,09  | 120.17±8.539  | <0.05   |
|                    | 15 min                           | 119.17±9.79  | 127.50±8.539  | <0.05   |
|                    | 30 min                           | 122.50±7.923 | 130.41±9.732  | <0.05   |
|                    | 45 min                           | 121.50±6.796 | 126.75±11.11  | <0.05   |
|                    | 60 min                           | 119.25±5.33  | 129.50±8.726  | <0.05   |

| MedPulse International Jour | nal of Anesthesiology  | Print ISSN: 2579-0900     | Online ISSN: 2636-4654  | Volume 10, Issue 2, Ma | v 2019 n | n 100-106 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| mour disc micinational ooun | nar or Ancourcolology, | 1 11111 10014. 2010 0000, | 011111010014.2000 4004, | volume 10, 13500 Z, Ma | y 2010 p | p 100 100 |

|                   | After CO2 release        | 119.50±6.09     | 129.25±7.950  | <0.05   |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|
|                   | After Extubation         | 119.08±6.84     | 125.41±9.617  | <0.05   |
| Post-operative    | Immediate                | 120.08±9.16     | 125.41±9.617  | <0.05   |
|                   | 15 min                   | 119.83±9.17     | 125.83±10.914 | <0.05   |
|                   | 30 min                   | 119.83±8.29     | 126.83±11.075 | <0.05   |
|                   | 1 hour                   | 118.17±6.68     | 123.33±9.397  | <0.05   |
|                   | 2 hour                   | 118.41±7.37     | 123.83±9.89   | <0.05   |
|                   | 3 hour                   | 120.08±7.04     | 126.75±9.435  | <0.05   |
|                   | 4 hour                   | 120.75±6.72     | 126.75±9.435  | <0.05   |
|                   | Table 6: Diastolic Blood | oressure change | s             |         |
|                   |                          | Group B         | Group F       | P value |
| Pre-on            |                          | 80 66+5 44      | 80 75+6 20    | >0.05   |
| Beforegiving drug |                          | 79.67+5.49      | 80.33+6.369   | >0.05   |
| After aivina drua |                          | 78 75+6 03      | 75 67+6 94    | >0.05   |
| After Intubation  |                          | 73 83+4 21      | 73 083+7 526  | >0.05   |
| Intra-operative   | 3 min                    | 71 33+5 35      | 71 75+6 61    | >0.05   |
|                   | 6 min                    | 71.66+4.46      | 71.75+6.61    | >0.05   |
|                   | 9 min                    | 71.25+4.28      | 72.67+6.73    | >0.05   |
|                   | 12 min                   | 71.97+4.43      | 74.67+5.75    | < 0.05  |
|                   | 15 min                   | 73.50±5.37      | 75.25±6.05    | < 0.05  |
|                   | 20 min                   | 74.50±5.96      | 78.083±5.77   | < 0.05  |
|                   | Before Pneumoperitoneum  | 75.08±7.28      | 80.83+4.73    | < 0.05  |
|                   | 15 min                   | 77.25+6.70      | 82.67±7.01    | < 0.05  |
|                   | 30 min                   | 81.33+8.37      | 86.50±7.20    | < 0.05  |
|                   | 45 min                   | 80.25±7.90      | 86.25±5.90    | <0.05   |
|                   | 60 min                   | 79.83±6.72      | 84.40±4.50    | <0.05   |
|                   | After CO2 release        | 77.67±6.62      | 81.25±5.46    | <0.05   |
|                   | After Extubation         | 77.00±5.75      | 81.33±6.94    | <0.05   |
| Post-operative    | Immediate                | 77.75±6.50      | 81.33±6.12    | <0.05   |
|                   | 15 min                   | 77.92±5.64      | 82.00±6.38    | <0.05   |
|                   | 30 min                   | 76.91±5.61      | 80.00±5.73    | <0.05   |
|                   | 1 hour                   | 76.41±5.24      | 87.90±4.88    | <0.05   |
|                   | 2 hour                   | 76.41±4.50      | 80.17±5.97    | <0.05   |
|                   | 3 hour                   | 76.41±5.31      | 79.58±4.89    | <0.05   |
|                   | 4 hour                   | 76 00+5 31      | 79 92+5 68    | <0.05   |

On comparing both the groups for systolic blood pressure in table:5, no significant difference was found till 9 min after intubation, than onwards significant changes were noted till 4th hour of postoperative period. As shown in table:6 no changes in diastolic blood pressure were noted till 9 min after intubation. The statistical difference in diastolic blood pressure started at 12 min after intubation and persisted till 4th hour of postoperative period. There were no significant changes in the etco2 in both groups. Patients of group F were started having pain at 30 min after extubation in comparison to Group B patients having pain after 3 hour and comparison of both the groups was found to be significant till 4th hour of postoperative period. Rescue analgesia was given in form of inj. Diclofenac sodium when patient VAS score  $\geq 5/10$ , this was taken as a end point of pain relief.

| Table 7: Visual Analogue scale of pa | ain(\ | VAS): |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|

|                             | Group B   | Group F   | P value |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| POST-OPERATIVE<br>IMMEDIATE | 1.58±0.49 | 3±0       | <0.001  |
| 15 MIN                      | 2.33±0.47 | 3.43±0.49 | <0.001  |
| 30 MIN                      | 3.12±0.33 | 5±0       | <0.001  |
| 1 HOUR                      | 3.91±0.28 | 5±0       | <0.001  |
| 2 HOUR                      | 4.04±0.46 | 5±0       | <0.001  |
| 3 HOUR                      | 5±0       | 5±0       | >0.05   |
| 4 HOUR                      | 5±0       | 5±0       | >0.05   |

In postoperative period in Group B sedation remained for longer period for about 3 hours, while in Group F sedation remained for 30 min after extubation. Comparative study was found to be statistically highly significant (p<0.001) till 30

#### Vishal Shrimali, Bhavin Patel

min after extubation, at 1st and 2nd hour of postoperative period difference was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05). No significant change in sedation score was seen at 3rd and 4th hour of postoperative period.

| Table 8: Sedation score |            |            |         |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|---------|
|                         | Group B    | Group F    | P value |
| Immediate               | 2.917±0.28 | 2.04±0.008 | <0.001  |
| 15 min                  | 2.79±0.41  | 1.54±0.49  | <0.001  |
| 30 min                  | 2.20±0.48  | 1.08±0.28  | <0.001  |
| 1 hour                  | 1.83±0.37  | 1.04±0.20  | <0.05   |
| 2 hour                  | 1.33±0.46  | 1±0        | <0.05   |

There were complications like nausea, vomiting, and rashes in few of the cases. The incidence of vomiting(4%) and nausea(8%) were more in case of Group B compared to Group F which was found to have vomiting(12%) and nausea(12%). There was incidence of rash (4%) in case of Group F, no such incidence of rash was found in Group B.

#### DISCUSSION

Now a days laproscopic surgery has replaced many of the open surgeries because of its advantage like fast recovery, less incidence of complication and relatively less painfull. There are various haemodynamic, respiratory and stress response changes in laproscopic surgery due to creation of pneumoperitoneum. In balanced anaesthesia ideal opioid would permit rapid titration to the effect, successfully prevent unwanted responses to various stimuli, require little supplementation, does not depress cardiovascular function, permit the return of adequate spontaneous ventilation in timely manner and produce residual if not complete postoperative analgesia with minimal side effects. Butorphanol, a synthetic opioid derivative is a mixed agonist-antagonist with analgesic potency greater than morphine and pethidine. Butorphanoland and its metabolites are agonist at kappa receptors and mixed agonist-antagonist at mu receptors. It has also sedative action. Butorphnol unlike morphine exhibits a ceiling effect on respiratory depression. Fentanyl is also cardiostable and have analgesic action. Fentanyl acts on mu receptors at supra-spinal sites. Both the groups of drug have haemodynamic stability, analgesic action, sedation and decreases the requirements of other anaesthetic drugs and are available at low cost, so we have chosen Butorphanol and Fentanyl to study haemodynamic changes and analgesic action in both the groups. Mean age in group B was 38.5±8.40 and group F was 43.75±10.94 years and both the groups were comparable<sup>9,17</sup>. Mean weight in group B was 51.87±4.57kg and in group F was 50.96±5.72 kg, observation were found to be comparable<sup>9,17</sup> The duration of surgery in group B was found out to be 111.17±9.07 min and in group F was 112.87±9.48,both the groups were comparable, while in other studies duration of surgery were 90 min and 50 min which is not in consonance with our study<sup>7,9,17</sup>. As shown in graphs it was found that pre-operative vital parameters ( pulse rate, systolic blood presuure, diastolic blood pressure, spo2) were comparable in both groups





MedPulse International Journal of Anesthesiology, Print ISSN: 2579-0900, Online ISSN: 2636-4654, Volume 10, Issue 2, May 2019 Page 104

The changes in the pulse rate were comparable in both the groups. As shown in the graphs, there were very minor changes in pulse rate in both the groups till 12 min after intubation. Comparing the mean pulse rate in both groups from 12 min after intubation till 2nd hour of postoperative period was found to be significant. There was no significant difference in the pulse rate was noted in both groups at 3rd and 4th hour of postoperative period. Comparing both the groups fall in pulse rate in group B was more in comparison to group F throughout perioperative period. The deviation in pulse rate in both groups was not to the extent of bradycardia or tachycardia (≤20% of preoperative value). R.K.Verma et al found suppression of sympathetic responses to laryngoscopy and intubation was better with butorphanol than fentanyl, which was in consonance with our study. Bevely K. Philip et al noted that intraoperatively patients who received butorphanol demonstrated lower pulse rate before, after intubation and perioperative period. Pandit et al studied that only statistically significant changes occur 2 min after tracheal intubation in fentanyl group when pulse rate was significantly higher than preoperative baseline values, while such rise in pulse rate was noted in butorphanol group.

Mean systolic blood pressure were comparable in both the groups. As shown in the graphs, there were very minor changes in the systolic blood pressure in both the groups till 9 min after incubation. Than after comparing the mean systolic blood pressure in both the groups 12 min after intubation, till 4th hour of postoperative period was found out to be significant. There were no incidence of hypertension and hypotension in both groups. Comparing both the group, in group F there was rise in systolic blood pressure more after creation of pneumoperitoneum till postoperative period than in comparison to group B. Beverly K. Philip et al found in their study that there were no significant changes in systolic blood pressure, our study was in consonance with their study. Both the groups were comparable with respect to diastolic blood pressure. There were significant changes noted on comparing both the groups from 9 min after intubation till 4th hour of post-operative period. Fall in diastolic blood pressure was seen more in case of butorphanol group than in comparison to fentanyl group. There were no incidence of hypotension or hypertension in both the groups. There were no significant changes in the end tidal volume of CO2 were noted in both groups. The amount of inhalation agent used in group F(1.8%)-2%) was more in comparison to group B(1.3%-1.5%).<sup>17.</sup> In case of group F, in order to control blood pressure we used to start Propofol drip in 12% of cases when blood pressure was not controlled even after inhalation concentration beyond 2% and the rise in pulse rate and

blood pressure were >20% of the base line values. As per observation sedation in group F was found upto 1 hour and in group B was upto 3 hours in post-operative period. So it was found that postoperatively sedation was more in case of group B than in comparison to group F. R.K.Verma *et al* found that the emergence time, recovery time and post operative sedation was more in butorphanol group than in the fentanyl group. Beverly K.Philip et al used butorphanol(20 mcg/kg) and fentanyl (1mcg/kg) as premedication, postoperative sedation was observed more in butorphanol group during first 45 min of recovery. In other studies they observed post-operative sedation, amnesia, drowsiness, longer pain relief with butorphanol<sup>7,9,15,16,17.</sup> So our study is consistent with all above studies. As butorphanol is a strong kappa receptor agonist with weak mu receptors antagonist, it is associated with more sedation.

Visual analogue scale(VAS) method is widely used accepted method for pain assessment<sup>9,13,15,17</sup>. On analysing postoperative pain relief on VAS, group B showed analgesia upto 3 hours as compared to group F showed pain relief up to 1 hour and difference was statistically significant(p<0.05). Hammad usmani et al in their study found out that time to first rescue analgesia was also significantly prolonged in butorphanol group as compared to fentanyl group. In other studies they observed longer pain relief in butorphanol group as compared to fentanyl groups<sup>9,10,11,14,15</sup>. On considering post-operative complication, both groups were comparable as far as incidence of nausea was 8%, vomiting 4% in group B as comparison to group F in which nausea 12%, vomiting 12% and rashes 4% were found. However PONV were easily treated with inj. Ondansetron 4 mg intravenously and rashes in group F were treated with inj. Chlorpheniramine maleate 5 mg intravenously

### CONCLUSION

We concluded that butorphanol is better alternative to fentanyl as a premedication for use in laproscopic surgeries because of its ability to produce haemodynamic stability, prolong analgesia and less postoperative complication.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ameer et al;Drug therapy reviews. Evaluation of butorphanol tartrate. Am j hosp Pharm 1979; 36:1683-91.
- Atkinson et al;Double blind comparison of intravenous butorphanol and fentanyl for analgesia during labour. Am J obstet gyanecol 1994; 171: 493-8
- 3. Cook ta et al;Butorphanol tartrate :An intravenous analgesia for outpatient surgery; Otolargeal Head neck surgery 1983 jan;19(3):251-4.

- 4. Del Pizzo et al;A double blind study on the effect of butorphanol compared with morphine in balanced anaesthesia. Can Anaesth soc j 1978; sept;25(5):392-7
- Garfield Jm,Garfield fb,Philip bk et al;A comparison of psychological effectas of fentanyl and nalbuphine in ambulatory gynaecological patients. Anaesth Analg 1987; 66: 1303-7.
- 6. Gilbert Ms, Forman Rs et al;Butorphanol:A double blind comparison with pentazocine in postoperative patients with moderate to severe pain. J Int.Med research 1976; 4: 255-264.
- Golloway Fm, Verma S et al; Double blind comparison of intravenous doses of dezocine butorphanol and placebo for relief of post operative pain, Anaesth analge 1986 mar;65(3)3:283-7
- 8. Heel Rc,Brogden m,Speigut JM et al;Butorphanol: A review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic efficacy. Drugs 1978; 16: 473-505
- Hummad Usmani ,A Quadir,S.N.Jamil, Nitin Bahl et al; Comparison of butorphanol and fentanyl for balanced anaesthesia in patients undergoing laproscopic cholecystectomy.J anaesth clinical pharmacol 2004;20(3):251-4.
- 10. Laffey Day ,Kay NH et al; Premedication with butorphanol, A comparison with morphine; British J Anaesth,1984 apr;56(4):363-7
- Lippmann M. Mok,Steen s,et al; Butorphanol and Morphine: A double blind multiple intramuscular dose comparative safety and efficacy study in patients with postoperative pain. Curr Therap ES 1977;21: 427-34.
- 12. Mather Le et al: Clinical pharmacokinetics of fentanyl and its newer derivatives; Cli pharmacokinetic 1983;8; 422-46
- 13. Maxwell c et al; Sensitivity and accuracy of the visual analog scale. British Journal of clinical pharmacology: 1978; 6: 15-24.

- Ordie I.Day, Nespeca JA, Charles Ringgold, Douglas a et al; Outpatient sedation for oral surgery: A comparison of butorphanol and fentanyl. Acute care 1986; 12(1):63-69.
- 15. Pandit SK,Kothary P, Pandit UA,Mathai MK et al;Comparison of fentanyl and butorphanol for outpatient anaesthesia. Can J Anaesthesia 1987; 34(2):130-3.
- POPIO KA, jackson DH, Ross AM ,Yu PN et al; Haemodynamics and respiratory effects of orphine and butorphanol.Clin Pharmacol Ther 1978 mar;23(3):281-7.
- R.K.Verma, S. Jaiswal, P. B. Rao, N.Singh et al :Total intravenous anaesthesia in laproscopic cholecytetomy: Comparison of butorphanol and fentanyl. The internet Journal of Anaesthesiology, 2007. Volume 14 number 1.
- Reverly K.Philip, David A. Scott, Freberger D, Richard p et al: Butorphanol compared with fentanyl in general anaesthesia for ambulatory laproscopy. Can J Anaesthesia 1991;38(2):183-6.
- Rosow C et al; butorphanol in perspective. Acute care 1988;12 Suppl 1:2-7.
- 20. Rupar JR et al; New research in butorphanol tartrate. Its use in balanced anaesth AANA 1981; 49: 374-78
- 21. Stanely TH.Reddy P,Gilmore S, et al; The cardiovascular effects of high dose butorphanol -nitrous oxide anaesthesia before and during operation. Can Anaesth Soc.J 1983; 30: 337-41.
- 22. Stehling LC, Zauder HL et al; Double blind comparison of butorphanol tartrate and meperidie hydrochloride in balanced anaesthesia.J INt Med Res 1978;6(5):847-54.
- 23. T.K.Kalllos, F.s. Caruso et al ;Respiratory effects of butorphanol and pethiidine. Anaesthesia 1979; vol 34,633-37.
- 24. Vandam LD et al;Butorphanol. New England journal of Medicine 1980; 302: 381-84.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared