# Knowledge, attitude and practice of water conservation methods among high school students - A cross sectional study

Uppili Venkat Ragavan M<sup>1\*</sup>, Laxman Saran<sup>2</sup>, K Yudhiesh kumar<sup>3</sup>, Varun Visakan<sup>4</sup>, Siddharth S<sup>5</sup>, Vignesh<sup>6</sup>, R Vinoth<sup>7</sup>, Hari Ram<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, <sup>2,3,4,5,6,7,8</sup>House Surgeon, Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College and Hospital, Ommandurar Government Estate, Chennai, INDIA.

Email: ragavanmdcm@gmail.com

## Abstract

Background: Water is the elixir of life. We need water for literally every purpose. Right from the cell in the body water is indispensable. It is estimated that water requirement for drinking and domestic use is around 150-200 litres per head per day. It is especially important to educate and create awareness among the public of the importance of saving water and responsible water use. Methods: A cross sectional study was conducted among high school students from Chennai. The data was collected using pre tested and validated questionnaire containing details of socio demographic details, knowledge, attitude and practice of water conservation methods were asked in local language. A total of 210 students were included in this study. Results: Around 62% of the students correctly told the source of water and more than 92 % of the students were aware of water recycling. Almost all the students were aware of rain water harvesting (99.5%) out of 82% of the students found it to be economical and 94 % of the students rightly said that this process will improve the ground water level. Boiling is the common method of purifying followed by the students at home (46%). Around 73 % of the students closes the water tap while brushing. More than 75 % of the students closes the water tap completely after using. Conclusion: We found from our study that the knowledge of the students about water conservation and harvesting is high so as they practice the method of water conservation in their homes, the attitude towards water conservation and harvesting is on a positive note, these students who are ambassadors of the future having a positive attitude shows that the practice of water conservation and water harvesting in future is in safe hands.

Keywords: Water Conservation, Water harvesting, Students, KAP.

# \*Address for Correspondence:

Dr Uppili Venkat Ragavan.M, Assistant Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Government Medical College and Hospital, Ommandurar Government Estate, Chennai, INDIA.

Email: ragavanmdcm@gmail.com

Received Date: 03/08/2021 Revised Date: 12/09/2021 Accepted Date: 27/10/2021

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License</u>. (cc) BY-NC





## INTRODUCTION

Water is the elixir of life. We need water for literally every purpose. Right from the cell in the body water is indispensable. It is estimated that water requirement for drinking and domestic use is around 150-200 litres per head per day. 1 But at present, water has become something so hard to find; it has become a depleted. At present, approximately 1.2 billion people live in areas wherein water is scarce and 1.6 billion people face economic water shortage.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, the World Health Organization, United Nations Children's Fund, various governments, and public and private sector entities have exerted intensive efforts to provide sufficient water supply to residents, particularly in rural areas and in developing countries.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, it is expected that anthropogenic climate change will increase drought risk in many areas around the world<sup>3</sup> Typically, however, it is when a drought strikes that underlying vulnerabilities become apparent, sometimes not allowing planners the time to respond<sup>4</sup> In fact, due to the length of the drought, many would argue that it changed the culture of water management in the country<sup>5,6</sup> Dolnicar et al.<sup>7</sup> suggested that several factors affect water behavior. These conservation factors environmental attitudes<sup>8,9</sup> and a range of demographic variables, including gender, age, 10 education, 11 and information transparency of water consumption, which is the strongest factor. An improved understanding of daily water consumption practices will encourage consumers to adopt water conservation behavior.7 Studies have shown that people often have misconceptions on actual water consumption.<sup>12,13</sup> Some users tend to underestimate their water consumption.<sup>14</sup> However, studies are lacking on consumer misconceptions regarding actual water consumption on specific water-use patterns and their relation to the socio-demographic profiles of users and household conservation awareness<sup>12</sup> There is currently acute water shortage in various parts of the world and therefore it is essential that all people are aware of the importance of saving water. It is especially important to educate and create awareness among the public of the importance of saving water and responsible water use. Students play an important role as they are the generation who are about to face huge problems regarding water shortage and therefore it is very important that they know the importance of conserving water and protecting natural resources of fresh water. Hence this study was planned to assess the knowledge, attitude and practice of water conservation methods among high school students.

## **METHODOLOGY**

A cross sectional study was conducted among high school students from Chennai. Prior permission was obtained from the authorities before the start of the study. The data was collected by the medical under graduate students who were trained beforehand for this purpose and it was supervised by the faculty. The data was collected using pre tested and validated questionnaire containing details of socio demographic details, knowledge, attitude and practice of water conservation methods were asked in local language. The data was collected from a school which was selected by simple random method, for this purpose all the high schools in the city limit were line listed and one school was selected randomly using lottery method and all the students in high school who were present on the day of data collection and willing to participate were included in the study. No efforts were made to collect data from the students who were absent on that day. The high school students who were willing to take part in the study was included in the study and those who were not willing to participate in the study were excluded. A total of 210 students were included in this study. The collected data

were then entered in MS excel and analyzed using Epiinfo. Frequencies and percentages of proportion of respondents were computed, data were also summarized in pie charts. Appropriate descriptive and inferential statistical methods will be applied on the data collected. Institution ethical committee clearance was obtained before the start of the study. Prior permission from the school was obtained and assent from the students and consent from the parents were obtained before collecting data. Confidentiality of the participants were maintained.

### **RESULTS**

The study was conducted among 210 high school students of urban Chennai. Since the students are from high school the common age group was between 13 to 15 years and most of the study participants belongs to the age 14 years. When the students were asked about the knowledge of water conservation and about drinking water most of the students had adequate knowledge. Around 62% of the students correctly told the source of water and more than 92 % of the students were aware of water recycling. Almost all the students were aware of rain water harvesting (99.5%) out of 82% of the students found it to be economical and 94 % of the students rightly said that this process will improve the ground water level. (Table.1) Boiling is the common method of purifying followed by the students at home (46%). More than two third of the study participant were using bore well as a source of drinking water (76%). Majority of the students uses bucket water to take bath (56.2%) compared to shower (16.2%). Around 73 % of the students closes the water tap while brushing. More than 75 % of the students closes the water tap completely after using. Around 86 % of the students had no water leakage at their home. 18 % of the students responded that they always use the running water for cleaning vessels. About washing the vehicles only 12 % of the participants responded that they wash it every day while 41 % of the participants wash their vehicle once in a while. Majority of the study participants (34.3%) always reuse the water and in 74 % of their homes had water harvesting facility. (Table. 2) More than 90 % of the study participants felt harvesting water is essential and 68 % of the students have experienced water shortage in their home. 43 % of the students though that they are wasting water and when asked about the main reason for water shortage the students responded that failure to store the incoming water (26.2 %) and wasting water (26.2 %) as the main reason for shortage of water. Majority of the student (52 %) felt they are responsible for the water shortage. Newspaper and magazines (33.8%) were the main source of awareness and information about water conservation and harvesting for the students. (Table. 3).

 Table 1: Distribution of study participants based on Knowledge on water conservation

Age         13 years       22       10.5         14 years       177       84.3         15 years       11       5.2         How much Percentage of earths water is drinkable?         <1%       164       78.1         >5%       27       12.9         >10%       5       2.4         >25%       14       6.7         Largest source of fresh water         Lakes       24       11.5         Rivers       25       11.9         Ground water       31       14.8						
14 years 177 84.3 15 years 11 5.2 How much Percentage of earths water is drinkable? <1% 164 78.1 >5% 27 12.9 >10% 5 2.4 >25% 14 6.7 Largest source of fresh water Lakes 24 11.5 Rivers 25 11.9 Ground water 31 14.8						
15 years 11 5.2  How much Percentage of earths water is drinkable?  <1% 164 78.1  >5% 27 12.9  >10% 5 2.4  >25% 14 6.7  Largest source of fresh water  Lakes 24 11.5  Rivers 25 11.9  Ground water 31 14.8						
How much Percentage of earths water is drinkable?         <1%						
< 1 % 164 78.1 >5 % 27 12.9 >10 % 5 2.4 >25 % 14 6.7 Largest source of fresh water Lakes 24 11.5 Rivers 25 11.9 Ground water 31 14.8						
>5 %       27       12.9         >10 %       5       2.4         >25 %       14       6.7         Largest source of fresh water         Lakes       24       11.5         Rivers       25       11.9         Ground water       31       14.8						
>10 % 5 2.4 >25 % 14 6.7 Largest source of fresh water  Lakes 24 11.5  Rivers 25 11.9  Ground water 31 14.8						
>25 % 14 6.7  Largest source of fresh water  Lakes 24 11.5  Rivers 25 11.9  Ground water 31 14.8						
Largest source of fresh water  Lakes 24 11.5  Rivers 25 11.9  Ground water 31 14.8						
Lakes       24       11.5         Rivers       25       11.9         Ground water       31       14.8						
Rivers 25 11.9 Ground water 31 14.8						
Ground water 31 14.8						
Icebergs 130 61.9						
Awareness about water recycling						
Yes 195 92.9						
No 15 7.1						
Awareness about rain water harvesting						
Yes 209 99.5						
No 1 .5						
Is rain water harvesting economical						
Yes 173 82.4						
No 37 17.6						
How can you store harvested rain water? * (multiple						
choice)						
Bore well 65 31.0						
Reservoirs 27 12.9						
Underground tanks 64 30.5						
Wells 29 13.8						
Others 25 11.9						
Others 27 12.9						
Does rain water harvesting help in improving the ground						
water level						
Yes 198 94.3						
No 12 5.7						
Total 210 100						

 Table 2: Distribution of study participants based on practice of water conservation

Variables	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)			
Availability of motor or hand pump					
Yes	173	82.4			
No	37	17.6			
How do you purify water at your home? *					
Boiling	96	45.7			
Filtration	56	26.7			
Reverse	37	17.6			
osmosis					
Others	21	10.0			
Use of borewell					
Yes	159	75.7			
No	51	24.3			
What will you use for bathing					
Shower	34	16.2			
Bucket	118	56.2			
water					

Both	58	27.6				
Do you open the water tape while brushing?						
Yes	10	4.8				
Partially	42	20.0				
open						
No	152	72.4				
Rarely	6	2.9				
Do you completely close the tap after using						
	them					
Always	163	77.6				
Mostly	36	17.1				
Sometimes	8	3.8				
Rarely	3	1.4				
Do you h	ave any leaking t	apes at home				
Yes	29	13.8				
No	181	86.2				
Do you use i	running water for	washing vessels				
All the	39	18.6				
time						
Mostly	28	13.3				
Sometimes	57	27.1				
Rarely	37	17.6				
Never	49	23.3				
How often do you wash your vehicle						
Once a day	25	11.9				
Once in	11	5.2				
two days						
Once in a	85	40.5				
while						
Others	89	42.4				
	o you water the	plants				
Yes	121	57.6				
No	89	42.3				
Do you reuse water						
Always	72	34.3				
Mostly	31	14.8				
Sometimes	49	23.3				
Rarely	32	15.2				
Never	26	12.4				
Do	you use RO in you	ur home				
Yes	125	59.5				
No	85	40.5				
Do you hav	e rain water harv	esting facility in				
your home						
Yes	155	73.8				
No	55	26.2				
Total	210	100				

 Table 3: Distribution of study participants based on their attitude towards water conservation

Variables	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)			
What do you think of rain water harvesting					
Essential	190	90.5			
Not essential	8	3.8			
Others	12	5.7			
Have you heard of water scarcity in various part of the world					
Yes	201	95.7			
No	9	4.3			
Have you ever experienced water shortage in your home					

Yes	143	68.1			
No	67	31.9			
Do you think you are wasting water					
Yes	91	43.3			
No	119	56.7			
What do you think is the main cause of water scarcity* (multiple choice)					
Over usage	55	26.2			
Wasting water	20	9.5			
Improper management of available water	43	20.5			
Failure to store incoming water	55	26.2			
Deficit of natural resources	37	17.6			
Do you feel responsible for today's water shortage?					
Yes	109	51.9			
Mostly	30	14.3			
Sometimes	42	20.0			
Rarely	19	9.0			
Never	10	4.8			
Where do you get information about water conservation					
Newspaper and magazine	71	33.8			
Tv news	20	9.5			
School	29	13.8			
Friends and family	34	16.2			
What's app	29	13.8			
Others	27	12.9			
Total	210	100			

#### DISCUSSION

The study was conducted among 210 high school students of urban Chennai. Since the students are from high school the common age group was between 13 to 15 years and most of the study participants belongs to the age 14 years. Similar studies regarding the knowledge and attitude about water conservation has been done in different countries of the world. Masserini Lucio *et al.*<sup>15</sup> conducted a study investigating attitudes towards water savings among Italian university students. 429 students under the age group of 32 years were taken as the study sample which is more than the age of our study participants.

Janna M. Parker et al. 16 also conducted a study on water conservation behaviour of college students residing in dormitories in the United States. This survey was conducted in a phased manner and per capita usage of different group of dormitories were measured along with self-assessment data of knowledge about water conservation among students. Abdelfattah L Abdallah et al. 17 also studied the attitude and knowledge of students about water conservation in Jordan and their attitude towards scarcity of water in the country was also noted. Another study was done in Malaysia by Pey Fang Tan et al. 18 where the author studied the low awareness level among university students in a high rainfall tropical country. In this study 1075 students' responses were taken into account which is far more than our sample size. In our study when the students were asked about the knowledge of water conservation and about drinking water most of the

students had adequate knowledge. Around 62% of the students correctly told the source of water and more than 92 % of the students were aware of water recycling. Almost all the students were aware of rain water harvesting (99.5%) out of 82% of the students found it to be economical and 94 % of the students rightly said that this process will improve the ground water level. (Table.1) Boiling is the common method of purifying followed by the students at home (46%). More than two third of the study participant were using bore well as a source of drinking water (76%). Majority of the students uses bucket water to take bath (56.2%) compared to shower (16.2%). Around 73 % of the students closes the water tap while brushing. More than 75 % of the students closes the water tap completely after using. Around 86 % of the students had no water leakage at their home. 18 % of the students responded that they always use the running water for cleaning vessels. About washing the vehicles only 12 % of the participants responded that they wash it every day while 41 % of the participants wash their vehicle once in a while. Majority of the study participants (34.3%) always reuse the water and in 74 % of their homes had water harvesting facility. (Table. 2)

Matthew T. J. Brownlee *et al.*<sup>19</sup> in his study has expressed the importance of place attachment, local-level awareness such as drought impacts and global beliefs as equal factors to concern for drought impacts and ultimately water conservation attitudes. As reviewed by Heberlein *et al.*<sup>20</sup>, a complex relationship of interacting variables impacts

attitudes toward environmental problems and awareness alone is often not enough to promote attitudinal change. Masserini Lucio et al. 15 also showed in their study that family values are highly correlated to subjective norms, and thus the impacts and individuals receive their behaviour from values acquired within and outside of the family, in addition to the interface between the two factors. This study also concluded that women have robust environmental and water conservation worries than men. This finding was supported by Meyer et al.<sup>21</sup> in a review, and was contradicted by Cotton. et al. 22 More than 90 % of the study participants in the present study felt harvesting water is essential and 68 % of the students have experienced water shortage in their home. 43 % of the students though that they are wasting water and when asked about the main reason for water shortage the students responded that failure to store the incoming water (26.2 %) and wasting water (26.2 %) as the main reason for shortage of water. Majority of the student (52 %) felt they are responsible for the water shortage. Newspaper and magazines (33.8%) were the main source of awareness and information about water conservation and harvesting for the students. (Table. 3). Abdelfattah L Abdallah et al. 17 also described that there was a higher prevalence of importance of developing and preserving water resources and the practice of water harvesting among students. Masserini Lucio et al.15 also demonstrated that the knowledge of water bill variation and having the responsibility for paying the water bill suggestively impact a students' water saving behavior and practices. These findings are also supported by studies done by Nataraj and Hanemann. et al.<sup>23</sup>

The sample size of the study is one of the limitations of the study further study can be done on the same topic with much higher sample size and covering a larger population in order to obtain a versatile picture of the situation.

#### **CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we found from our study that the knowledge of the students about water conservation and harvesting is high so as they practice the method of water conservation in their homes, the attitude towards water conservation and harvesting is on a positive note, these students who are ambassadors of the future having a positive attitude shows that the practice of water conservation and water harvesting in future is in safe hands. Even though the proportion of students with positive attitude were higher the job is not done until most of the population shows a similar attitude towards water conservation and harvesting.

#### **Acknowledgement:**

The authors like to thank the undergraduate students for their part in data collection

## REFERENCES

- Central Ground Water Authority, Government of India. Estimation of water requirement for drinking and domestic use. (Source: NBC 2016, BIS)
- World Health Organization and the United Nations Children's Fund (WHO and UNICEF). Progress on Drinking Water and Sanitation: 2012 (Update), USA, 2012. Available online: http://www.unicef.org/media/files/JMPreport2012.pdf (accessed on 06.01.2021).
- Diffenbaugh, N.S.; Swain, D.L.; Touma, D. Anthropogenic warming has increased drought risk in California. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2015, 112, 3931– 3936.
- 1. 4.Muller, M. Cape Town's drought: Don't blame climate change. Nature 2018, 559, 174–176.
- 4. Neal, B.; Mackellar, P.; Davies, R.G.; Ampt, E. Drought response measures in dampening urban demand. Inst. Civ. Eng. 2014, 167, 435–441.
- Lindsay, J.; Dean, A.J.; Supski, S. Responding to the Millennium drought: Comparing domestic water cultures in three Australian cities. Reg. Environ. Chang. 2017, 17, 565–577.
- Dolnicar, S.; Hurlimann, A.; Grün, B. Water conservation behavior in Australia. J. Environ. Manag. 2012, 105, 44– 52.
- Corral-Verdugo, V.; Frias-Armenta, M.; Perez-Urias, F.; Orduna-Cabrera, V.; Espinoza- Gallego, N. Residential water consumption, motivation for conserving water and the continuing tragedy of the commons. Environ. Manag. 2002, 30, 527–535.
- Corral-Verdugo, V.; Frías-Armenta, M. Personal normative beliefs, antisocial behavior, and residential water conservation. Environ. Behav. 2006, 3, 406–421. Water 2014, 6 1783
- Matos, C.; Teixeira, C.A.; Bento, R.; Varajão, J.; Bentes, I. An exploratory study on the influence of sociodemographic characteristics on water end uses inside buildings. Sci. Total Environ. 2014, 466, 467–474.
- Clark, W.A. Obstacles and Opportunities for Water Conservation in Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria. Available online: https://etda.libraries.psu.edu/paper/6567/1821 (accessed on 06.01.2021).
- 11. Beal, C.D.; Stewart, R.A.; Fielding, K. A novel mixed method smart metering approach to reconciling differences between perceived and actual residential end use water consumption. J. Clean. Prod. 2013, 60, 116–128.
- Justes, A.; Barberán, R.; Farizo, B.A. Economic valuation of domestic water uses. Sci. Total Environ. 2014, 472, 712–718.
- 13. Hassell, T.; Cary, J. Promoting Behavioural Change in Household Water Consumption: Literature Review. Available online: http://www.vu.edu.au/sites/default/files/Promoting%20be havioural%20 Change%20in%20Household%20Water%20Consumptio n.pdf (accessed on 06.01.2021).
- 14. Lucio M, Giulia R, Lorenzo C. Investigating attitudes towards water savings, price increases, and willingness to

- pay among italian university students. Water Resources Management. 2018 Sep 1;32(12):4123-38.
- Parker JM, Sams D, Poddar A, Manoylov K. Water conservation behavior: is what we say what we do?. Journal of Consumer Marketing. 2018 Sep 10.
- Abdallah AL, Al Antary TM. Attitudes of students of the university of jordan towards practicing water harvesting to face the water deficit in jordan. 2021;30 (1):678-88.
- 17. Tan PF, Hanafiah MM, Mokhtar MB, Harun SN. Rainwater Harvesting System: Low Awareness Level Among University Students in a High Rainfall Tropical Country. Malaysian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture (MJSA). 2017 Oct 30;1(2):9-11.
- 18. Brownlee MT, Hallo JC, Moore DD, Powell RB, Wright BA. Attitudes toward water conservation: The influence of

- site-specific factors and beliefs in climate change. Society and Natural Resources. 2014 Sep 1;27(9):964-82.
- 19. Heberlein TA. Navigating environmental attitudes. Oxford University Press; 2012 Aug 27.
- Meyer A. Heterogeneity in the preferences and proenvironmental behavior of college students: the effects of years on campus, demographics, and external factors. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2016 Jan 20;112:3451-63.
- Cotton D, Shiel C, Paço A. Energy saving on campus: a comparison of students' attitudes and reported behaviours in the UK and Portugal. Journal of cleaner production. 2016 Aug 15;129:586-95.
- 22. Nataraj S, Hanemann WM. Does marginal price matter? A regression discontinuity approach to estimating water demand. Journal of Environmental Economics and Management. 2011 Mar 1;61(2):198-212.

