Prediction of pre- eclampsia and intra uterine growth restriction using doppler velocimetry of uterine artery at 20-24 weeks of gestation

Rishi Thakur Rajpal

Associate professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Padmashree Dr D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Center, sector 5, Nerul Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: rishioncall@gmail.com

Abstract

Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy represent the second most common cause of maternal death in India accounting for 16% maternal deaths annually. Prediction of this disorder early in pregnancy using screening tests is expected to help in reducing its incidence and associated complications. A pathological increase in vascular resistance of placental circulation can be detected by uterine artery doppler studied as early as 18 weeks offering potential to prevent preeclampsia by deploying earliest possible interventions.

Key Word: Eclampsia.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Rishi Thakur Rajpal, Associate professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Padmashree Dr D Y Patil Medical College, Hospital and Research Center, Sector 5, Nerul Navi Mumbai, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: rishioncall@gmail.com

Received Date: 14/03/2019 Revised Date: 21/05/2019 Accepted Date: 09/07/2019 DOI: https://doi.org/10.26611/10121119



INTRODUCTION

The Key aim of antenatal care is to screen high risk pregnancies, prevent, detect and treat at the earliest any complications that may arise subsequently. High risk pregnancies require close follow up and prompt treatment of potential complications to ensure healthy baby and mother. Screening is considered a preventive care, screening of pregnancies for various diseases and other high risks factors allow sufficient time interval to intervene before the disease process sets in. The World Health Organization (WHO) systematically reviews maternal mortality worldwide, and in developed countries, 16% of maternal deaths were reported due to hypertensive disorder¹. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy represent the second most common cause of maternal death, first being haemorrhage. The condition affects 5-10% of all pregnancies world wide and is responsible for 10-15% maternal deaths associated with preeclampsia and eclampsia in India². Prediction of hypertensive disorders early in pregnancy using screening test is expected to help in reducing the incidence of disease, complications, associated morbidity and mortality in this condition by allowing early intervention. It is important to identify the women who are at risk of developing preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction, as they require closer antenatal surveillance which in turn helps in early referral for timely delivery, as and when indicated. Diagnosis of this condition as early as possible depends on the detection of early signs of disease such as hypertension, proteinuria, oedema and excessive weight gain. However these signs and symptoms appear in later stages of the disease process where prevention is not possible³. A pathological increase in vascular resistance in placental circulation can be detected by uterine artery doppler studied as early as 18 weeks and will offer the potential to prevent preeclampsia and to carry out earliest possible interventions.Doppler ultrasound studies of the uterine

How to cite this article: Rishi Thakur Rajpal. Prediction of pre- eclampsia and intra uterine growth restriction using doppler velocimetry of uterine artery at 20-24 weeks of gestation. *MedPulse – International Journal of Gynaecology*. July 2019; 11(1): 28-33. http://medpulse.in/Gynaecology/index.php

arteries have demonstrated that the clinical manifestations of preeclampsia are preceded by evidence of impaired placental perfusion.^{4,5} A major outcome of this disease process that causes poor placental perfusion is manifested in intrauterine growth retardation of the unborn foetus. Intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) in India comprises one-third of low birth weight infants (28%) and incidence of same in developed countries is 2-8%. Hence prevention of low birth weight (LBW) is a public health priority in India where, the extent of problem largely attributed by IUGR⁶. It is important to predict in which pregnancies pre eclampsia and IUGR may ensue; since the pathophysiology of both is abnormal placentation.

Role of Uterine Doppler

Impedance to blood flow in uterine artery results in an abnormal wave form pattern. Impaired uterine artery flow velocity can be identified by a persistent abnormal index (resistance index and pulsatility index), persistent notch and significant differences between the indices of bilateral uterine arteries. Among these three indices of uterine artery Doppler study Pulsatility index and persistent diastolic notch had shown the best predictive accuracy in pregnancy outcomes. Gomez et al evaluated the uterine artery pulsatility index in first trimester and showed that 30.8% pregnancies developed severe complications when having abnormal index by using 95th percentile as cut-off⁷. At the onset of pregnancy these indices does not show much difference as compared to the non-pregnant state. Study conducted by Schulman and colleagues in 1986 showed that there is a significant increase in the compliance of uterine artery between 8 to 16 weeks of gestation. And form 22-24 weeks of gestation there is significant reduction in these indices⁸. The prevalence of notching decreases with increase in gestational age till 24 weeks of gestation there after it remains stable; a change in uterine artery waveform that is thought to be secondary to the fall in impedance in the vessel after trophoblastic invasion.⁹ A study conducted by Dehgani-firouzabadi et al during October 2011-12 included 456 pregnant women in 20-22 weeks gestational period. Doppler studies performed for uterine artery showed that there were no pregnancy complications and

normal pregnancy outcomes in women (n=429) with normal resistance indices (<0.69). This was significant when compared to the group where resistance indices were high (>0.69) (n=36) and suffered severe pregnancy complications with adverse outcomes⁹. Kevin spencer et al in 2008 conducted a multi centric study and observed that the uterine artery mean pulsatility index had a higher screening efficacy for prediction of preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction.¹⁰ A recent metaanalysis concluded that among these three indices of uterine artery, the doppler study pulsatility index and the persistent diastolic notch had best predictive accuracy (with area under curve 0.82 and sensitivity of 0.76) in predicting pregnancy outcomes¹¹. The time at which uterine artery Doppler should be performed has been studied extensively by multiple studies. Second wave of trophoblastic invasion completes at 16-18 weeks of gestation by completely transforming high resistance vessels of utero-placental bed into high capacitance vessels.Chan FY et al evaluated uterine artery Doppler velocity study at 20 weeks, 28 weeks and 36 weeks, to compare the prognostic accuracy of gestational age with a cut off of 95th percentile for resistance index and with or without presence persistent diastolic notch . They concluded that 20 weeks of gestation was the optimal time for testing with persistent diastolic notch carrying 50% positive predictive value for adverse pregnancy complications¹².

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Aim of the study was to evaluate the role of uterine artery Doppler flow velocimetry study at 20-24 weeks of gestation in prediction of pre-eclampsia, fetal growth restriction and pregnancy outcome.

Uterine artery Doppler was performed on 110 subjects 106 subjects came for follow up and delivery 4 were excluded from study 2 subjects had abortions 104 patients delivered alive baby 6 patients had pre eclampsia 20 patients had IUGR

OBSERVATIONS and RESULTS

Table 1: Distribution of the study population:			
	No of subjects N=106	Proportion of subjects	
Total no of IUGR subjects (less than 10 th centile as per WHO growth chart)	20	18.8%	
Total no. of Pre-eclampsia subjects	6	5.66%	
Total no. of live births	104	98.11%	
Total no. of abortions (as per birth weight)	2	1.88%	

Rishi Thakur Rajpal

Table 2: Period of gestation at uterine artery Doppler			
Parameter		Mean	SD
Period of gestation (in weeks)		21.55	0.98
Table 3 : Pulsatility Index (PI)			
PI	No.	Mean Pl	
Normal (<u><</u> 1.4)	99	1.10	_
Abnormal (>1.4)) 7	2.02	
Table 4: Persistent Diastolic Notch			
Notch		No.	%
Left uterine artery persistent notch		n 1	0.94
Bilateral persistent notch		3	2.83
Total no. of subject with notch		4	3.77
No notch		102	96.23

Table 4: Correlation of preeclampsia with various parameters

	ition of preeclampsia with various parameter	15
Correlation of age with preeclampsia		
Age groups	Subjects without preeclampsia (n=100)	Cases with preeclampsia (n=6)
19-25 years	37	2
26-34 years	56	3
>35 years	7	1
Chi-square test p-value	0).199
Pearson's correlation test p-value	0	0.086

	Subjects	Subject's
BMI (kg/m²)	with preeclampsia (n=6)	without preeclampsia (n=100)
Less than 18.5	1	3
18.5-24.9	2	68
25-29.9	1	16
30-34.9	2	14
Chi-square test		0.001
p-value		
Pearson's correlation test		0.006
p-value		

Table 6: 3 Type of conception			
Correlation of Type of conception with Pre-eclampsia			
	Subject's with	Subject's without	
Typo of concontion	preeclampsia	Preeclampsia	
Type of conception	(n=6)	(n=100)	
Spontaneous	5	99	
ART	1	1	
Chi-square test	0.0001		
p-value	0.0001		
Pearson Correlation	0.006		
Test Sig. (p-value)			

Table 7: Parity

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Correlation of Parity with Preeclampsia \\ \hline Subject's with \\ preeclampsia \\ preeclampsia \\ preeclampsia \\ (n=6) \\ (n-100) \\ \hline 1 & 5 & 43 \\ 2 & 0 & 44 \\ 3 & 1 & 12 \\ 6 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 6 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 12 & 6 \\ 6 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 12 & 6 \\ 6 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 12 & 6 \\ \hline 2 & 0 & 44 \\ \hline 3 & 1 & 12 \\ 6 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 12 & 6 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 12 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 12 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 12 & 6 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 12 & 6 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 12 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1$	
Paritypreeclampsia (n=6)preeclampsia (n-100)154320443112601Chi-square test p-value0.199Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value)0.243Table 8: Pulsatility indexTable 8: Pulsatility indexCorrelation of Pulsatility index with PreeclampsiaSubject's with preeclampsiaSubject's with preeclampsia	
Parity (n=6) (n-100) 1 5 43 2 0 44 3 1 12 6 0 1 Chi-square test 0.199 Pearson Correlation test 0.243 Sig. (p-value) 0.243 Table 8: Pulsatility index Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with preeclampsia Subject's with preeclampsia	
2 0 44 3 1 12 6 0 1 Chi-square test p-value 0.199 Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value) 0.243 Table 8: Pulsatility index Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with preeclampsia	
3 1 12 6 0 1 Chi-square test p-value 0.199 Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value) 0.243 Table 8: Pulsatility index Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with preeclampsia	
6 0 1 Chi-square test p-value 0.199 Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value) 0.243 Table 8: Pulsatility index Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with preeclampsia	
Chi-square test 0.199 p-value 0.243 Pearson Correlation test 0.243 Sig. (p-value) 0.243 Table 8: Pulsatility index Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with preeclampsia Pleeclampsia	
p-value Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value) Correlation of Pulsatility index Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with preeclampsia preeclampsia	
Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value) 0.243 Table 8: Pulsatility index Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with preeclampsia preeclampsia	
Sig. (p-value) 0.243 Table 8: Pulsatility index Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with Subject's with preeclampsia Pu	
Correlation of Pulsatility index with Preeclampsia Subject's with Subject's with preeclampsia preeclamps	
Subject's with Subject's with Subject's with preeclampsia preeclamps	
preeclampsia preeclamps	
preeclampsia preeclamps	nout
(11-100)	
Normal (<1.4) 1 98	
Abnormal (>1.4) 5 2	
Chi-square test	
p-value 0.0001	
Pearson Correlation test	
Sig. (p-value) <0.0001	
Table 9: 6 Persistent Diastolic notch	
Correlation of Persistent Diastolic notch with Pre-eclamps	sia
Subject's with	
Preeclampsia	
Notch (n=6) (n=1	
Persistent Diastolic notch present 3	
No notch 3 9	
Chi-square test	,
p-value 0.0001	
Pearson Correlation test	
Sig. (p-value)	
Table 10: 7 IUGR	
Correlation of IUGR with Pre-eclampsia	
Subject's with Subject's with	nout
nreeclamnsia nreeclamns	
IUGR (n=6) (n=100)	
Present 4 16	
Absent 2 83	
Chi-square test	
p-value 0.0001 Pearson Correlation test	
p-value Pearson Correlation test	
p-value Pearson Correlation test	
p-value 0.0001 Pearson Correlation test 0.001 Sig. (p-value) 0.001	parameters
p-value Pearson Correlation test	
p-value Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value) 0.001 Table 11: Summary of Correlation between Preeclampsia with various	
p-value Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value) 0.001 Table 11: Summary of Correlation between Preeclampsia with various Correlation between Preeclampsia with various parameter Pearson Correlation Coefficient C	Pearson
p-value 0.001 Pearson Correlation test 0.001 Sig. (p-value) 0.001 Table 11: Summary of Correlation between Preeclampsia with various Correlation between Preeclampsia with various parameter Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Coefficient Coefficient	Pearson rrelation Sig
p-value 0.001 Pearson Correlation test 0.001 Sig. (p-value) 0.001 Table 11: Summary of Correlation between Preeclampsia with various Correlation between Preeclampsia with various Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Coefficient Coefficient Co Parameters (2-tailed)	Pearson
p-value 0.001 Pearson Correlation test Sig. (p-value) 0.001 Table 11: Summary of Correlation between Preeclampsia with various Correlation between Preeclampsia with various parameters Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Parameters (2-tailed) Age 0.168	Pearson Pearson rrelation Sig. (p=value)
p-value 0.0001 Pearson Correlation test 0.001 Sig. (p-value) 0.001 Table 11: Summary of Correlation between Preeclampsia with various Correlation between Preeclampsia with various Correlation between Preeclampsia with various Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Pearson Correlation Coefficient Coefficient (2-tailed)	rs Pearson rrelation Sig. (p=value) 0.086

Copyright © 2019, Medpulse Publishing Corporation, MedPulse International Journal of Gynaecology, Volume 11, Issue 1 July 2019

Rishi Thakur Rajpal

PI	0.615		<0.00	01
Persistent diastolic notch	0.594	0.594		01
IUGR	0.311	0.311)1
NICU STAY	0.266		0.00)6
Correlation is significant at the 0.	05 level (2-tai	led)		
able 12: Validity parameters of uterine art	ery Doppler te	est Pulsatilit	y index for Preecla	ampsia and IU(
Distribution of validity parameter				
			rtery Doppler	<u> </u>
Parameters	Pulsatility index (PI)			
	Preeclamp		IUGR	
Sensitivity	83%		30%	
Specificity	98%		98%	
Positive predictive value (PPV)	71%		85%	
Negative predictive value (NPV)	98%		85%	
Positive Likelihood ratio (LR+)	41.5 15		15	
Negative Likelihood ratio (LR-)	0.17			
Table 13: Proportion	of cases with	ore-eclamo	sia and IUGR	
Proportion of preecla				
		Prop	ortion	
Study	Pre	eclampsia	IUGR	
Yong Won Park <i>et al</i> 2	2005148	1.7%	9.5%	
Anshu Dhar et al.20	17 ¹⁴⁶	6%	3%	
Deepti Verma et al. 2	016 ¹⁴⁷	12.1%	11.5%	
Padmalatha VV et al. 2	2013 ¹⁴⁹	2.3%	12.9%	
Mojgan Barati <i>et al</i> 20	014 ¹⁵⁰	5.01%	1.84%	
Present study		5.66%	18.8%	

In our study the proportion of the Pre-eclampsia was 5.66% and IUGR was 18.8%

DISCUSSION

In present study it was observed that age was not associated with the development of preeclampsia and there was no statistical significance between preeclampsia and age (pvalue 0.086). The study also showed that parity was not associated with the development of preeclampsia and there was no statistical significance between preeclampsia and parity as p-value was 0.243. However it was observed that BMI had relationship in the development of the preeclampsia which was statistically significant with pvalue 0.006. Assisted reproductive techniques were observed to have relationship in the development of the preeclampsia which was statistically significant with pvalue being 0.006. Cases with abnormal Pulsatility index (PI) of the uterine artery doppler performed at 20-24 weeks of gestation had mean PI = 2.02+0.23; in comparison with normal uterine artery Doppler; mean PI being 1.10+0.31 for that gestational age. In a study by Anshu Dhar et al in 2017¹³; the abnormal uterine artery doppler Pulsatility index mean was 1.47+0.59 and normal uterine artery doppler Pulsatility index mean was 0.86+0.18. Deepti Verma et al in 2016¹⁴, in their study reported abnormal Pulsatility index with mean being 1.89 +0.45 and mean PI 1.14+0.12 in normal subjects. In Present study, 6.6%

subjects had abnormal uterine artery Doppler PI whereas 93.45 subjects had normal uterine artery Doppler PI. The study had 83% sensitivity and 98% specificity for the prediction of pre-eclampsia which was statistically significant by Pearson's correlation test (p-value 0.0001). Study conducted on 200 subjects by Anshu Dhar et al in 2017¹³ showed sensitivity of 85.71% and specificity of 98.26% in the prediction of preeclampsia. Present study proved that uterine artery Doppler pulsatility index had a positive predictive value of 71% and negative predictive value of 98% in predicting the occurrence of pre eclampsia. In the current study abnormal uterine artery doppler with persistent diastolic notch was significant in prediction of preeclampsia p value <0.0001 (Pearson's correlation test) with 50% sensitivity and 99% specificity. Young Won Park et al in 2005¹⁵ reported that persistent diastolic notch was a good predictor of the preeclampsia with sensitivity 90.9% and specificity of 93.3%

CONCLUSION

Uterine artery Doppler study indices i.e. pulsatility index and persistent diastolic notch at 20-24 weeks of gestation was the good predictor of preeclampsia and IUGR. In our study specificity of pulsatility index for the development of preeclampsia and IUGR was 98% and 90% respectively. Similarly the specificity of persistent diastolic notch for development of pre-eclampsia and IUGR was 99% and 98% respectively. An important aspect is the negative predictive value of Pulsatility index for Preeclampsia (98%) and IUGR (85%) and persistent diastolic notch- 97% and 91% for preeclampsia and IUGR respectively; helps to detect those patients who will not develop IUGR and preeclampsia. Therefore uterine artery Doppler evaluation at 20-24 weeks of gestation might be an appropriate tool for indentifying pregnancies that are at low risk for development of pre-eclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction.

REFERENCES

- 1. Khalid s Khan, Danial Wojdyla, Say, Metin; WHO analysis of causes of maternal death; systemic review, volume 367, issue 9516,P 1066-1074, April 01, 2006
- 2. Moodley, J (2010), Maternal deaths associated with eclampsia in South Africa,2005-07, South African medical journal 100(11).717-719.
- 3. Villar J, Glumezoglu *et al*, eclampsia and preeclampsia, a world wide health problem, London RCOG press2003; 189-207
- 4. Odegard RA, Vatten LJ, Nilsen ST; Preeclampsia and fetal growth, Obset Gynaecol 2000, 96; 950-955
- 5. Papageorghiou AT,Yu CK, Nicolaides KH, The role of uterine artery Doppler in predicting adverse pregnancy outcomes. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2004;18:383-396

- 6. Xiao R, Soresen TK, Williams MA, Inflence of preeclampsia on fetal growth J matern, fetal neonatal medicine 2003,13;157-162.
- 7. O Gomez, F Figuras *et al* Reference range for uterine artery mean pulsatility index at 11-14 weeks gestation, Vol no 3, pp128-132, 2008
- Schulman H, Fleischer *et al*; Development of uterine artery compliance in pregnancy as detected by Doppler ultrasound. Am J Obset Gynaecol 1986;155: 1031-6
- 9. Dehaghani, Mahadayen at al 2013. Uterine artery sonography in predicting preeclampsia and IUGR. World applied sciences journal 22(2); 197-201, 2013
- Kevin Spencer *et al* 2005, prediction of pregnancy complications by first trimester maternal serum PAPP-A and free B-hcg and second trimester uterine artery Doppler 2005;25:949-953
- 11. Cnossen J, Morris R, Reit G *et al*, Use of uterine artery Doppler to predict preeclampsia and IUGR ;systematic reviea and bivaiable meta-analysis, CMAJ 2008;178;701-11
- 12. Chan FY, Pun TC, Pregnancy screening with uterine artery Doppler velocimetry- which criteria performs best? Obset gynecol 1995; 82; 596-602.
- 13. Anshu Dhar *et al.* Value of PI of uterine artery at 23-24 weeks in the prediction of adverse pregnancy outcome ;Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2017 Dec 6 (12) 5435-5439
- 14. Deepti Verma *et al*; Prediction of adverse pregnancy outcomes using uterine artery Doppler imaging at 22-24 weeks of pregnancy; Journal of Obstet Gynecol 2016;13: 80-4
- 15. Yong Won Park, Jong Chul Lim, Uterine artery Doppler velocimetry during mid second trimester to predict complications of pregnancy. Yonsei medical journal Vol 46No 5, pp 652-657, 2005.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared