Caesarean delivery in ante partum hemorrhage (APH) - conventional vs. modifications

Sarbeswar Mandal^{1*}, Rahul Deb Mandal², Chirantan Mondal³, Koyel Halder⁴, Sreelekshmi M V⁵ Pritha Chakrobarty⁶

¹Associate Professor, ²Assistant Professor, ^{3,4,5,6}Sr Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, West Bengal, Kolkata-700020, INDIA.

Email: dr.sss.mandal@gmail.com, drrdmandal@gmail.com, drchirantan.nrs@gmail.com, drkoyel1987@gmail.com, mvknmk@gmail.com, pritha.nisha26@gmail.com

Abstract

Aims and Objectives: With modification s during caesarean delivery minimized or control fatal obstetrics hemorrhage. Type of Study: Clinical, interventional, prospective, randomized controlled Trial (RCT). Place, Duration and Sample Size In the Dep't of G and O, IPGMEandR-SSKM Hospital Kolkata, West Bengal, India, More than one(1) year. Methods and Materials: After getting ethics approval, more than one hundred (100 Cases) ante-partum hemorrhage patients selected, randomized and allowed into two groups for management point of view by Caesarean delivery like Gr.A (N=50)=CASES- MODIFICATIONS. Gr. B (N=50) =CONTROLS – CONVENTIONAL. Results and Analysis: The results of individual group (Gr.AandGr.B) assessed (Pry and Sec. outcomes), analyzed, and represented with statistical significant accordingly showed better in modifications (Gr-A-CASES) which achieved 100% success to control fatal obstetric hemorrhage with zero mortality. Conclusion: To minimize and or eliminate maternal and newborn mortality or morbidity during caesarean section in APH always perform by MODIFICATIONS techniques.

Key Word: Aph-Caesarean Section- Modifications-Decreased-MmrandImr.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Sarbeswar Mandal, Associate Professor, ²Assistant Professor, ^{3,4,5,6}Sr Resident, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, institute of Post Graduate Medical Education and Research, West Bengal, Kolkata-700020, INDIA.

Email: dr.sss.mandal@gmail.com

Received Date: 07/10/2019 Revised Date: 17/11/2019 Accepted Date: 01/12/2019

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26611/10121237

Access this article online Quick Response Code: Website: www.medpulse.in Accessed Date: 13 December 2019

INTRODUCTION

The fatal obstetrics hemorrhage resulting from APH(placenta praevia-25%, abruption placenta -40%, ill-health-60%) blood loss more than 2.5 liters(Blood transfusion-≥5units) causes maternal mortality 6%-7% and morbidity 40%^{1,2,3}. While danger of ante partum and post −partum hemorrhage had been greatly reduce by modern method of treatment fatal hemorrhage during and following birth of placenta especially during caesarean

section remain a serious complication and in a patient already exsanguinated most serious and morbid with hemorrhage and shock(6-7%), alarming hemorrhage (33.5%), admitted-

good(38%),bad(60%),preterm(27%).,MMR(1.7%vs.4.4), Hemorrhage-death(0.5%). That is why this operation is acid test even in an experienced entitled and senior obstetrics surgeon. In this study it is our humble representation with modification of steps during caesarean operation in APH not only to decrease life threatening hemorrhagic complication but also reduce the maternal, newborn mortality and morbidity⁴. Impairments of placental circulation does not negative influence as on neonate and mother, if baby delivered within 5(five) minutes in moribund and serious condition⁵. After inclusion of available accepted internationally methods in this study revealed that the parameters of primary and secondary outcomes in mother and newborn at short and long term basis are better in modifications (Gr-A-CAES) conventional (Gr-B-CONTROLS) adopted than procedures. So, surgeons should perform caesarean section after incorporation of such modifications steps and make these as recommendations.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

After getting ethics approval patients of ante partum hemorrhage selected., randomize and allowed into two groups as per selection and exclusion criteria with Consort Flochert: Gr.A (N=50)=CASES MODIFICATIONS.andGr.B (N=50)=CONTROLS – CONVENTIONAL. ELIGIBILITY-CRITERIA Case Selection: All cases of Ante-partum hemorrhage.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA:-

- 1. Coagulation disorder.
- 2. Immunocompromised.
- 3. Associated Medical and Surgical Co-morbidities.

Sample Size: 66(Sixty-six).

Place Of Study: Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, IPGMER - SSKM HOSPITAL. West Bengal.India.

Period Of Study: 1(one) year. **Procedure-Modifications**:

- Vertical- midlines / paramecium skin incision:-Easy, quick entry and exteriorization of gravid uterus with less bleeding¹⁴.
- Engorged vessels/ sinuses will be secure separately either by ligatures or electrosurgical unit¹⁴.
- Stepwise pelvic devascularization:-The Bilateral uterine artery ligation (BUAL), Ovarian vessels ligation if require Bilateral Internal Iliac Artery Ligation (BIIAL)^{6,7}
- Hysterotomy (Uterine) incision upper or lower segment, vertical or transverse depending upon placental location but trans- fundal preferred¹⁴

- Uterine cavity tightly packed by sterile mops after extraction of baby and placenta⁸.
- Routine application of compression and B-lynch stitches^{9, 10,11,12,13}.

RESSULTS AND ANALYSIS

The results of individual group (Gr.A,Gr.B), assessed (Pry and Sec.outcome), analyzed, and represented with statistical significant accordingly. The outcomes of individual groups analyzed as primary outcome(organ damage/failure, blood loss, transfusion) ,secondary outcome(operation time ,mobilization time, oral intake time, analgesic, pain relieved and satisfaction) ,secondary outcome(wound complications, hospital stay, costs and readmission) tabulated and statistical significant calculated by GRAPH-PAD SOFTWARE in TABLE-1,TABLE-2 and TABLE-3, showed as below:- 1).Hge-68%,2).Hysterectomy required-12%, 3).BIIAL/BHAL-20%. 4).Mortality-03- cases.

Consolidated Standards Of Reporting **Trails** (Consort) Statement: Allocation done Sequentially Numbered Opaque Sealed Enveloped(SNOSE) ,where Sequence generated computerized random number generator and envelopes size, shape, weight confirmed -Code-Gr-A(CASES),Code-Grequally having B(CONTROLS). Aluminums foil inside envelopes was used to render envelops impermeable to light. Envelops number in advanced, opened sequentially only after participants' name and other details written on appropriate envelops. Envelops contains carbon papers which essential for audit trial. Must registry entry of patients 'profile.

Table 1: Pry Outcome Of Both Groups (Cases Vs Controls)

Indicators	Gra=cases (n=50)	Grb=control (n=50)	Utt/fet- P-value.
Blood Loss/-(1200—1800ml)	*1200,*100,*14.14.	*1800,*300,*42.43.	<0.0001.
Hb%Drop (Pre andPost) PCV Drop. Mops wt Drain	*0.40,*0.05,*0.0071 *1.8, *0.1,*0.014. *1400,*200,*28.28.	*1, *0.05, *0.0071. *2.7, *0.05, *0.0071. *1700, *300, *42.43.	<0.0001. <0.0001. <0.0001.
Operation after 8 hrs	*500,*150,*21.21. *100,*30, *4.25	*800, *300,*42.43. *300,* 50, *7.07	<0.0001. <0.0001.
Transfusion Required:- Crystalloids			
Crystallolds Colloids Blood	40/10 10/40(≤2)	50/00 50/00(≥4)	P=0.0012 P<0.0001
Platelets FFP	03/47 03/47.	40/00 40/00.	P<0.0001 P<0.0001

Organ Damage and Dysfraction:- Uretary Injury Bladder Injury Voiding Dysfunction Intestine Injury Vessels Injury Hysterectomy Thromboembolic Manifestion	01 03/47 03 01 03/47.	05 12/38 05 03 11/39. 04	P=0.0226. P=0.0407.
ITU / CCU /HDU Case	5/45	20/30	P<0.0010.
Death	NIL	03	

Unpaired -t-test (UTT), Fisher Exact test(FET), *Mean,*SD, *SEM.

Table 2: Secondary Outcome

Table 2: Secondary Outcome				
Indicator	Gr.A- Cases-50	Gr.B-Controls-50	UTT/FET-P-Value	
Operation Time	*90, *15, *2.12	*120,*30,*4.24.	<0.0001.	
Mobilisation Time	*16, *4, *0.57.	*40, *6, *0.85.	<0.0001.	
Oral Feeding Time	*24, *4,*0.57.	*40, *6, *0.85.	<0.0001.	
Bowel Movement	*24, *4, *0.57.	*48, *6, *0.85.	<0.0001.	
Severe Pain	7/43	17/33	P=0.0338.	
Annalgesic Require	*24, *4, *0.57.	*48, *6,*0.85.	<0.0001.	
Satisfaction	45/5 minimal	3/47 High		
Febriillness	5/45	17/33	P=0.0070.	
Woundheling				
Wound Complecation	3/47	13/37	P=0.0122.	
Hospital Stay	*9, *2, *0.28.	*14, *4, *0.57.	<0.0001.	
Re-Admission	2	5		

Unpaired -t-test (UTT), Fisher Exact test(FET), *Mean,*SD, *SEM.

Table 3: Newborn Outcomes

Indicators	Gr-a-cases(50)	Gr-b-controls(50)	Fet/utt- p-value.
Incision to Delivery.	10,2,.28	15,5,.71	P<0.0001.
Cried at Birth.	45/5	15/35	P<0.0001
Meconeum staining	4/46	23/27	P<0.0001.
Birth trauma	1/49	9/41	P=0.0157.
E.N.C.	3/47	25/25	P<0.0001.
APGAR SCORE:-			
7-10 4-6 BABY WEIGHT:-	40/10 9/41	15/35 39/11	P<0.0001. P<0.0001.
<1.5 Kgs. 1.5-2 Kgs. 2- 2.5 Kgs. >2.5Kgs.	7/43 5/45 12/38 33/17	37/13 40/10 36/14 15/35	P<0.0001. P<0.0001. P<0.0001. P<0.0001.
NICU ADMISSION DEATH	5/45 3/47	20/30 16/34	P=0.0010. P=0.0017.

.Mean,SD,SEM. Unpaired –t-test (UTT), Fisher Exact test(FET), *Mean,*SD, *SEM.

DISCUSSION

But in the light of modern development to deal with this condition on surgical lines –caesarean section treatment par excellence by LAWSON TAIT in 1890 far surpasses all other importance. Today while mother's safety is still primary aim in treatment is being given to recuing the child also as far as this is possible without prejudicing the well-being of mother. To control fatal obstetric hemorrhage the available effective methods (uterine packing -70%-90%,compression sutures-91%,stepwise devascularisation-84%-90%,hysterectomy-90%-

94%, embolisation –not included) included modifications (Gr-A-CASES) in this study revealed indicators of outcomes (primary andsecondary) better, superior and effective than conventional (Gr-Boutcomes(organ CONTROLS) as per primary damage/failure blood loss, transfusion) ,secondary outcome(Early)(operation time, mobilization time, oral intake time, analgesic, pain relieved and satisfaction) ,secondary outcome(Late)(wound complications ,hospital stay, costs and readmission) statistically significant showed in tables. As MODIFICATIONS are proved effective (Evidenced-Based), so these may be recommended into caesarean section by different National and International bodies

CONCLUSION

To control fatal obstetrics hemorrhage during caesarean delivery not only challenging for surgeons but also life-threatening for patients which prevented or minimized by inclusion of internationally accepted available methods with modifications of steps during surgery revealed by this study represented in form of indicators of primary and secondary outcomes better and superior in modification(Gr-A-CASES) than conventional (Gr-B-CONTROLS) in relation to maternal and newborn morbidity and mortality.

REFERENCES

- PENNYG, KERNAGHAN-D, ADAMSON-L, Scottis confidential audit service maternal morbidity.2ND Annual Report-2005.
- Department of Reproductive Health and Research, WHO Maternal Morbidity in 2000 estimates, developed by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, Geneva, WHIO'2004.
- WATERSTONE M, BEWLEYS, WOLFEC, Incidence and predictors of severe obstetric morbidity Case-Control study. BMJ, 2001;322:1089-1093- Discussion 1093-1094.
- RONAN BAKKER, CARL.V.SMITH: Impairment of placental circulation does not negative influence on neonate and mother.Uterine packing, Compression Suture, uterine artery ligation, hysterectomy .Placenta praevia treatment and management. UPDATED: JAN 08,2018.
- MORRIS JA,ROSEBOWER TJ,JERKOVICH GH et al:Infant survival after caesarean section for trauma. ANN SURG. 1996;223:481-488.
- O'Leary JL, O' Leary JA. Uterine artery ligation in control of intractable PPH. Am.J Obstct Gynae 1966;96:920—924.
- 7. WATERS EG: Surgical management of PPH with particular reference to ligation of uterine arteries. Am.J Onstet Gynaecal 1952;64:1143—1148
- MAIER RC, Control of post partum hemorrhage with uterine packing. AM.J Obst Gynaed. 2002;169:317— 321discussion-321-323
- CHO.JH,JUN.HS, LEE CN.Haemostatic suturing technique for uterine bleeding during caesarean delivery. Obstet Gynecol. 2000;96:129-131
- B.LynchC, Coker A.Lawal AH, Aber J, Cowen MJ. The B-lynch surgical technique for control of massive post partum haemorrhage:an alternative to hysterectomy? Br.J. Obstet Gynecol. 1997; 104: 372-375.
- 11. ABD.Rabdo SA. Stepwise uterine devascularisation: a novel technique for management of uncontrolled post partum haemorrhage with preservation of uterus. Am.J.Obstet Gynecol. 1994; 171: 694-700.
- 12. FEHRMAN H:Surgical management of life threatening obstetric and gynaecological haemorrhage
- 13. ACOG-COMMITTEE OPINION-NO-529.JULY 2012.RE-AFFAIRED-2015.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared