

Incidence of preeclampsia and risk for preeclampsia in pregnant women with gestational diabetes

Patlolla Rajini Reddy^{1*}, S Nagamani²

¹Associate Professor, ²Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, MGMH Petlaburz Osmania Medical College, Koti, Hyderabad, Telangana, INDIA.

Email: drsujathapasula@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Preeclampsia and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) are two diseases that affect the perinatal outcomes of both the mother and child. Although preeclampsia and GDM may appear to be unrelated disease entities because their clinical manifestation and diagnostic criteria do not overlap, many studies have shown a correlation between preeclampsia and GDM. **Aims:** purpose of the study is if the rate of preeclampsia is influenced by severity of gestational Diabetes, we can decrease the maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality. **Materials and methods:** A Prospective study was conducted to study the associated between preeclampsia and severity of gestation Diabetes and also to know the maternal and fetal outcome. : 100 pregnant women who are diagnosed as having gestational diabetes, followed up till the delivery for the development of preeclampsia. **Results:** Incidence of preeclampsia in pregnant women with Gestational Diabetes is 30%. Most of the patients in the study group were primi (30%) and second gravid (33%). In GDM with PE Group, most of the cases were primi's (45.2%) whereas in GDM without PE group most of the cases were second gravida's (35.7%). Most of the patients in the study group were belong to age group 20 to 25 years. Mean age in the study population was 25.22 years. Among GDM with PE group, most of the cases (90%) were developed preeclampsia in third trimester, 10% developed in second trimester. Mean FBS levels were higher (112.39 mg/dl) among GDM with PE group compared to GDM without PE group (98.36 mg/dl.) GDM Patients who developed preeclampsia had significant higher HbA1C levels (7.47) compared to GDM patients who did not (6.42). We found no difference in 2nd hour OGTT values between patients with GDM who developed preeclampsia and those who did not. Mean birth weight of GDM with PE group is lower (2.94+/-0.65) than that of GDM without PE group (3.26+/-0.67). We found Significant difference in NICU admissions among two groups. **Conclusion:** By doing early detection and giving proper management with strict glycaemic control we can decrease the incidence of Preeclampsia.

Keywords: Preeclampsia, Gestational Diabetes, Glycaemic control.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr Patlolla Rajini Reddy, Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology: MGMH Petlaburz Osmania Medical College, Koti, Hyderabad, INDIA.

Email: drsujathapasula@gmail.com

Received Date: 04/05/2021 Revised Date: 10/06/2031 Accepted Date: 14/07/2021

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.26611/10121937>

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). 

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.medpulse.in

Accessed Date:
24 September 2021

INTRODUCTION

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) is defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy. Pregnancy induces progressive changes in maternal carbohydrate metabolism. As pregnancy advances insulin resistance and diabetogenic stress due to placental hormones necessitate compensatory increases in insulin secretion. When this compensation is inadequate gestational diabetes develops. GDM has well known adverse effects on pregnancy and its outcome, especially on the fetus. Hence the only way to diagnose this disorder remains the screening method. Clinical

recognition of GDM is important because therapy including medical nutrition therapy, insulin when necessary and antepartum fetal surveillance can reduce the well described GDM associated perinatal morbidity and mortality. The prevalence of GDM in India varies from 3.8 to 21% in different parts of the country, depending on the geographical locations. GDM has been found to be more prevalent in urban areas than in rural areas. For a given population and ethnicity, the prevalence of GDM corresponds to the prevalence of Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) in non-pregnant adult within that given population.^{1,2} Although the prevalence of GDM is usually reported as 2 to 5% in pregnant women, it can be as high as 14% depending on the population described and the criteria used for diagnosis. The prevalence of GDM is increasing globally but there is lack of uniformity in screening policy to be used i.e., universal or selective, as well as the diagnostic criteria to be used. Diabetic pregnancies complicated by preeclampsia are of concern because of poor maternal and perinatal outcome. Although hypertensive disorders are more frequent in women with pregestational diabetics. The question of whether they take place more frequently in gestational diabetes remains controversial. Several studies have reported increased risk for gestational hypertension and preeclampsia in pregnancies complicated by diabetes. Both these conditions affect mother and fetus, resulting in high maternal, fetal, and perinatal morbidity and mortality. A better understanding of the association between these two conditions may lead to more effective strategies for prenatal care so there by we can improve maternal and perinatal outcome. The purpose of the study is if the rate of preeclampsia is influenced by severity of gestational Diabetes, we can decrease the maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hundred pregnant women who are diagnosed as having gestational diabetes, followed up till the delivery for the development of preeclampsia during the period of November 2018 to October 2020 at modern government maternity hospital, Petiaburz. These patients were randomly selected according to the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion Criteria: All pregnant women with gestational diabetes who gave the informed consent and detailed history.

Exclusion Criteria: Pregnant women with certain risk to develop preeclampsia are Chronic HTN, Overt DM, Renal or collagen vascular disorders, Thyroid disorders, Heart disease and Anemia. Pregnant women with multiple gestation.. H/o preeclampsia in previous pregnancy. According to International Association of Diabetes and Pregnancy study groups (IADSPG)-2011 and American Diabetes Association (ADA) Recommendations: 75gr OGTT.

The test is used for both diagnostic and screening purpose it is performed at first state (overnight fasting of between 8 and 14 hours). There must be an unrestricted diet during the previous 3 days (with at least 150gr carbohydrate per day) and unlimited physical activity. Patient must be seated throughout the test and not smoke. Test is performed by preparing a glucose solution with 75gr glucose mixed with 200ml of water. Patient is made to drink the solution over a period of 5 to 10 minutes. After 1 hour and 2 hours the blood sugar values are evaluated by drawing 2 ml of blood in disposable syringe form anticubital vein. Blood sugar values are evaluated by using glucose oxidase-peroxidase (GOD-POD) method. Gestational diabetes is diagnosed if any one of the three values is met or exceeded.

Fasting blood glucose ≥ 92 mg/dl

post 1 hour ≥ 180 mg/dl

post 2 hours ≥ 153 mg/dl.

Once the patient is diagnosed as having GDM, informed consent is taken. Patient details and history noted. General physical and local examination done. Patient is put on treatment according to the blood sugar levels either Medical Nutritional therapy (or) combined (insulin along with MNT). All Routine investigations and Blood pressure recordings and weight gain during each visit noted. All the patients are followed till 6 weeks post-natal or postoperative period.

Statistical Analysis: Statistical testing was conducted with the statistical package for the sciences system SPSS version.1.7. continuous variables are presented as mean standard deviation and categorical variables are presented as absolute numbers and percentages. The comparison of continuous variables in GDM alone and GDM with preeclampsia cases was performed by student-t-test.

RESULTS

This study was undertaken in Modern Government Maternity Hospital, Petlaburz, Hyderabad. Total of cases are 100.

Table 1: Mean comparison of Gestational age of GDM detection among two groups

Gestational age of GDM detection(weeks)	Sample	N	Mean	SD	Mean difference	P value
	GDM with PE	30	27.45	5.93		
	GDM alone	70	26.2	6.46	1.25	0.36

1 st HOUR OGTT VALUES (MG/DL)	GDM with PE	30	192.1	43.1		
	GDM alone	70	174.46	35.83	17.64	0.035
	GDM with PE	30	172.1	31.75	19.04	0.307
2 nd hour OGTT values (mg/dl)	GDM alone	70	153.06	100.83	52.23	
HbA1c levels	GDM with PE	30	7.47	1.01	1.05	0.000 significant
	GDM alone	70	6.42	0.64		0.000 significant
						P value
Weight gain	Sample	30	16.58	3.86	1.05	P value
	GDM with PE					0.000 (significance)
	GDM without PE	70	11.54	2.55		0.000 (significance)

The Mean Gestational age of GDM detection in GDM alone group was 26.2 weeks compared to GDM with preeclampsia (27.45 weeks). No significant difference was found between these two groups. The mean 1st hour OGTT value in GDM with PE group was 192.10 mg/dl when compared to GDM alone group (174.46 mg/dl). There was a significant difference found between these two groups. The mean 2nd hour OGTT value was 172.10 mg/dl in GDM with PE, when compared to GDM alone, it was 153.06 mg/dl. No significant difference was found between these two groups. From the above table, HbA1C levels are higher (7.47%) among with PE compared to GDM without PE(6.42%). Significant difference was found between these two groups The mean weight gain in GDM with PE group was 16.58kg, whereas in GDM alone group it was 11.54kg. Significant difference was seen between these two groups.

Table 2: Pregnancy outcome measurements

		Preeclampsia		p-value	
		GDM with PET (n=30)	GDM without PET (n=70)		
Gestational Age (WK)		27.45±5.93	26.20±6.46	0.360	27.45±5.93
Birth Weight (Kg)		2.94±0.67	3.26±0.65	0.001	2.94±0.67
Delivery type	ELLSCS	7(23.33%)	22(31.4%)	NS	7(23.33%)
	EMLSCS	17(56.66%)	36(51.4%)	NS	17(56.66%)
	ND	6(20%)	12(17.1%)	NS	6(20%)
NICU admission	Yes	19(63.33%)	20(28.6%)	0.02	19(63.33%)
	No	11(36.67%)	46(65.7%)	0.03	11(36.67%)
	IUD	0(0.0%)	4(5.7%)	NS	0(0.0%)

NS: Non Significant

From the above table Emergency section rate was more than the Elective section rate among both groups. But Emergency section rate was little higher in GDM with PE group (56.66%) compared to GDM alone group (51.4%), which was statistically non-significant with p value more than 0.05.

NICU Admission rate was 63.33% among GDM with PE group, which was higher than the GDM alone group (28.6%), which was statistically significant with p value 0.02

Table 3: Patient selected characteristic data

	GDM with PE (n=30)	GDM without PE (n=70)	p-value
Maternal age (years)	24.45±5.54	25.99±4.46	0.143
Gestational age at OGTT (weeks)	27.45±5.93	26.20±6.46	0.360
Fasting OGTT (mg/dl)	112.39±15.63	98.16±18.72	0.000•
1 st hour OGTT(mg/dl)	192.10±43.10	174.46±35.83	00.035•
2 nd hour OGTT(mg/dl)	172.10±31.75	153.06±100.83	0.307•
HbA1c levels	7.47±1.01	6.42±0.64	0.000•
Obesity	25(80.6%)	43(61.4%)	NS
Weight gain during pregnancy (Kg)	16.58±3.86	11.54±2.55	0.000•
Booked Cases	11(35.5%)	52(74.3%)	NS

Statistically significant (p<0.05)

From the above table, Maternal age is statistically similar among two groups with p value 0.143(non-significant).

The Men Maternal age of GDM with PE group was 24.45 years, compared to GDM alone group, it was 25.99 years.

OBESITY is statistically similar among two groups with p value greater than 0.05.

Table 4: GDM detection according to trimester among two groups

	GDM with PE (n=30)		GDM without PE (n=70)	
	Count	Column N %	Count	Column N%
1 st trimester	2	66.66%	4	5.7%
2 nd trimester	12	40%	441	58.6%
3 rd trimester	16	53.3%	25	35.7%
Total	30	100.0%	70	100.00%

Among GDM with PE group, in most of the cases ((53.3%)) GDM was detected in third trimester.

Among GDM without PE group, In most off the cases (58.6%) GDM was detected in second trimester

Mean birth weight of GDM with PE group is lower (2.94Kg) than that of GDM without PE group ((3.26kg). Significant difference was found between these two groups with p value of 0.0001.

Table 5: Distribution of cases and incidence of preeclampsia in variables

Gravida	Total no .of cases	GDM with PE (n=30)		GDM without PE(n=70)		% preeclampsia
		Count	Column	Count	Column	
Primi	30	14	45.2%	16	22.9%	46.6%
Second gravid	33	8	25.8%	25	35.7%	24.24%
Third gravida	21	6	19.4%	15	21.4%	28.57%
Fourth gravid and above	16	2	9.7%	14	20.0%	12.5%
Age group						
20-25	49	18	58.1%	31	44.3%	36.7%
26-30	41	9	32.3%	32	45.7%	21.9%
>30	10	3	9.7%	7	10.0%	30%
Booked /unbooked						
Booked	11	36.67%	52	74.3%	17.4%	Booked
Unbooked	19	63.33%	18	25.7%	51%	Unbooked
Weigh of newborn						
<1.5 kg	2	1	3.2%	1	1.4%	<1.5 kg
1.6-2.4 kg	15	3	12.9%	12	17.1%	1.6-2.4 kg
2.5—3 kg	35	13	41.9%	22	31.4%	2.5—3 kg
>3 kg	448	13	41.9%	35	50.0%	>3 kg
Blood sugar						
90-100mg/dl	53	5	16.1%	48	68.6%	9.4%
101-110mg/dl	28	10	35.5%	18	25.7%	64.2%
111-120mg/dl	9	7	22.6%	2	2.9%	77.7%
>120mg/dl	10	8	25.8%	2	2.9%	80%
Mean +SD		112.39+15.63		98.16+18.72		

In GDM with PE group most of the cases were PRIMI'S (45.2%), Compared to GDM alone most of the cases were SECOND GRAVIDA'S (35.7%). Most of them were in the age group of 20 to 25 years. Mean age in the study population was 25.22 years. In GDM with PE group Mean Age was 24.45 years, compared to GDM alone it was 25.99 years. Most of the cases in the study population were BOOKED CASES (63%). Among GDM with PE group, most of the cases were UNBOOKED CASES (63.33%). Among GDM without PE group, most of the cases were BOOKED CASES (74.3%). Most of the cases ((41.9%) of GDM with PE had their babies birth weight between 2..5-3kg and more than 33kg. Whereas most of the cases (50%)) of GDM alone had their babies birth weight more than 3 kg.

Table 6: Distribution of cases according to trimester wise (detection of preeclampsia)

	GDM with PE	
	Count	%
Second trimester	3	10%
Third trimester	27	90%
Total	30	100%

Among GDM with PE group most of the cases (90%) were developed preeclampsia in third trimester: 10% developed in second trimester.

DISCUSSION

Pregnancy is a diabetogenic state manifested by insulin resistance and hyperglycemia it is implicated to be associated with significant obstetric complications. The incidence of diabetes complicating pregnancy has increased by approximately 40% between 1989 and 2004 (Getahun and colleagues 2008). As incidence of diabetes is right in epidemic proportion more women of childbearing age are at increased risk of diabetes during pregnancy.^{4,5} In fact, a high prevalence of gestational diabetes around 18% has been reported in India(46). GDM has been recognized as a clinical entity for 50 years . Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) is defined as carbohydrate intolerance with recognition in or onset during pregnancy irrespective of the treatment with diet or insulin .⁶ Early studies have strongly indicated untreated carbohydrate intolerance during pregnancy to be associated with higher rates of maternal morbidity and mortality. In the Indian context, screening is essential in all pregnant women as the Indian women have eleven fold increased risk of developing glucose intolerance during pregnancy compared to Caucasian women. Hence, universal screening during pregnancy has become important in our country. The screening for glucose tolerance is usually performed around 24-28 weeks of gestation. But a statistically significant number of GDM mothers deliver big babies despite good glycemic control in the third trimester. This is due to influence of maternal hyperglycemia in the early weeks of gestation on the fetal growth.⁶ Studies have also shown an increases in beta cell mass and insulin secretion in fetus of poorly controlled diabetic women by the 16th week of gestation. These studies stress the need for screening for GDM during early weeks of gestation. We may not miss any GDM by screening around 24-28 weeks, but a substantial number of pregnancy women who develop GDM in the earlier weeks of pregnancy are likely to have a delayed diagnosis and may not receive appropriate medical care. Evidence shown that early screening for glucose intolerance and care could avoid some diabetes related complications in women with gestational diabetes especially preeclampsia. According to Mudd LM, Owe KM, Mottola MF, Pivarnik JM *et al.* Schneider S *et al.*^{7,8} concluded that GDM and Preeclampsia share many risk factors, including advanced maternal age, nulliparity multifetal pregnancies, non-white race/ethnicity and prepregnancy obesity. GDM is often listed as a risk factor for the development of Preeclampsia. According to Schneider S *et al.*⁸ retrospective investigation of 6,47,392 and Preeclampsia while controlling for common risk factors. The authors found that the odds of Preeclampsia were increased among women with GDM, even after controlling for age, nationally, smoking, parity, multifetal pregnancy, pre-

pregnancy weight status and gestational weight gain. In the present study incidence of preeclampsia is in pregnant women with GDM is 30%. Our findings are comparable with some of the studies like Van Hoorn J, Dekker G, Jeffries B *et al.*,⁹ A study conducted by Jensen DM, Sorensen B *et al.*,¹⁰ have examined the association between gestational diabetes and preeclampsia, although some have been limited by small sample size or limited descriptive information. We also found that association between gestational diabetes and preeclampsia differed among booked cases and unbooked cases. Percentage of preeclampsia cases among unbooked cases was higher (51%) compared to booked cases (17.4%) while inadequate prenatal care has been describe as increasing the risk of preeclampsia by 30 to 35%, according to the studies conducted have suggested that early detection and aggressive treatment might reduce the risk of preeclampsia.

In our study rate of preeclampsia was assessed in the different severity categories of GDM(by 10 mg/dl increments of fasting value in the OGTT), an ongoing increase in the rate of preeclampsia was identified. GDM patients who developed preeclampsia had significantly higher OGTT values in comparison to GDM patients who did not. According to the present study Mean FBS levels are higher (113.39 mg/dl) among GDM patients who developed preeclampsia, compared to GDM patients who did not (98.36 mg/dl). We found no difference in the age group between GDM patients who developed preeclampsia and patients those who did not. We found Mean Birth Weight of GDM patients who develop preeclampsia is lower (2.94+/-0.65), than that of patients who did not (3.26+/-0.67). We found no difference in Gestational Age at Delivery. The results are comparable with other studies conducted. In our study GDM patients who developed preeclampsia had higher rates of Nulliparity and gained significantly more weight during pregnancy. These findings are consistent with other studies conducted by Oded Langer *et al.*¹¹ GDM patients who developed preeclampsia had significant weight gain (16.58kg), compared to those who did not (11.54kg). In the present study, among GDM with PE group, most of the cases (90%) were developed preeclampsia in the third trimester, whereas 10% of the patients developed in second trimester. In our study Preterm deliveries were higher (66.6%) in patients with GDM who developed preeclampsia, compared to those who did not (35.7%). It is possibly because of the only definitive treatment for the preeclampsia is delivery. Among 30 cases of preeclampsia one case had Eclampsia during Intrapartum period. No significant difference was found in mode of delivery among two groups. Probably because of small sample size. The study conducted by Yogevev *et al.*, M.J.Xenakis *et al.*,

Oded anger *et al.*,⁹ found higher rates of induction of labour and elective casarean delivery were observed in GDM patients who developed preeclampsia. Total IUD'S were 4 out of 100 cases.(4%). Among these two cases were term sudden IUD'S and other two cases were Preterm IUD'S at 36 weeks. NO gross congenital abnormalities were found in these four cases. Uncontrolled sugar levels were there in sudden IUD cases, these patients were not on regular follow-up. NICU admissions were higher (63.33%) among GDM with PE group compared to GDM alone group (28.6%). But according to Yogeve, Xenakis, Langer *et al.*,⁹ there was cleft lip and cleft palate. In the present study HbA1C was done, once the patient was diagnosed as having GDM. The purpose of doing this is to know whether the patient has well controlled sugars in the past 3 months. HbA1C levels were higher among GDM with PE (7.47%), compared to GDM alone group (6.42%). Means GDM with PE patients had uncontrolled sugars in the past 3 months, but these patients goes undetected by the 75 grams OGTT, thereby causing uncontrolled sugars, which is the factor responsible for the development of preeclampsia.

CONCLUSION

Providing patients education for enabling self-care and management: public health system constraints, due to shortage of resources, and providers, can be addressed to great extent by empowering community health workers, midwives, women self-help group and patients and communities with necessary information on GDM and diabetes prevention that they can utilize for self-monitoring and self-care. This can facilitate achievement of improved health outcomes, reduced unnecessary hospital visits, contributing to considerable cost savings for the health system. By doing early detection and giving proper management with strict glycemic control we can decrease the incidence of Preeclampsia. Regular and more

frequent Pressure monitoring is required in Gestational Diabetes pregnant women, so there by we can decrease the maternal morbidity and mortality.

REFERENCES

1. Hogberg U. The World Health Report 2005: "make every mother and child count"—including Africans. *Scand J Public Health*. 2005;33(6):409–11.
2. King H, Djumaeva S, Abdullaev B, Gacic Dobo M. Epidemiology of glucose intolerance and associated factors in Uzbekistan: a survey in Sirdaria province. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 2002;55(1):19–27.
3. Jenson DM, Sorensen B, Feiberg-Jorgenson N, et al. Materna and perinata outcomes in 143 Danish women with gestational diabetes mellitus and 143 contros with a similar risk profie. *Diabet Med* 2000; 17:281-6.
4. Nordander E, Hanson U, Persson B. Factors influencing neonata morbidity in gestationa diabetic pregnancy. *Br J Obstet Gyneco* 1989;96:671-8.
5. Mosteo D, Catin TK, Roman, et al. Peeclampsia in the parous woman: who is at risk/ *Am J Obstat Gynecol* 2002;187:425-9.
6. Eastering TR, Carr DB, Brateng D et a. Treatment of hypertension in pregnancy: effect of atenolol on maternal disease, preterm delivery, and fetal growth. *Obstet Gyneco* 2001;98:427-33.
7. Mudd LM, Owe KM, Mottola MF, Pivarnik JM. Health benefits of physical activity during pregnancy: an international perspective. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2013;45:268–77.
8. Schneider S, Freerksen N, Rohrig S, Hoeft B, Maul H. Gestational diabetes and preeclampsia--similar risk factor profiles? *Early Hum Dev*. 2012;88:179–84
9. Van Hoorn J Dekker G, Jeffries B. Gestational diabetes versus obesity as risk factors for pregnancy induced hypertensive disorders and fetal macrosomia. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynecol* 2002;42:29-34.
10. Jenson DM, Sorensen B, Feiberg-Jorgenson N, et al. Materna and perinata outcomes in 143 Danish women with gestational diabetes mellitus and 143 contros
11. Yariv Yogeve, Elly M.J. Xenakis, Oded Langer et al., The association between preeclampsia and severity of gestationa diabetes. *Obstet Gynecol* 2004.

Source of Support: None Declared
Conflict of Interest: None Declared