Evaluation of effective role of posterior longitudinal ligament in management of traumatic spine injuries

Chirag Prajapati¹, Vipul Makwana^{2*}

^{1,2}Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, P.D.U. Medical College, Rajkot, Gujarat, INDIA. **Email:** <u>dr.cgprajapati@gmail.com</u>

<u>Abstract</u>

Background: Ligaments are fibrous bands or sheets of connective tissue linking two or more bones, cartilages or structures together. Ligaments provide stability to a joint during rest and movement. Excessive movements such as hyperextension or hyperflexion or rotations may be restricted by ligaments. In the spine, ligament help to provide structural stability. Materials and Methods: Study of 32 patients with acute dorsolumbar and cervical fractures admitted at hospital was carried out. Thorough clinical assessment in form of mechanism of injury, inspection and palpation of spinous process, neurological examination was carried out. Patients were investigated in form of X-ray, CT Scan, MRI, CT Scan showed pattern of bony injury. WHITE and PUNJABI Criteria were used to identify instability in vertebral column and treated (conservatively/operatively) accordingly. Fractures are classified by McAfee and modified Magerl(AO/ASIF) classification system. Discussion: In our experience of spine fractures very minor cases can be treated with bed rest and physiotherapy; 30 % of lesions can be managed with closed treatment; and only 60 % will require surgery. Distraction posteriorly requires intact ALL(to prevent over distraction-anatomical hinge) with or without intact PLL but for indirect reduction of fracture fragments retropulsed into spinal canal by ligamentotaxis intact PLL is required. If PLL is ruptured surgeon considered either anterior or posterior approach to directly decompress spinal canal and fusion rather than relying on posterior distraction and fusion alone. Conclusion: PLL forms important structure stabilising spine along with ALL and ligamentum flavum and other ligaments, helps in resisting excess of flexion, lateral bending and spinal rotation to lesser extent. It aids in indirect reduction of fracture fragments by ligamentotaxis. Key Word: Posterior longitudinal ligament, ligamentotaxis, cervical spine, inter vertebral disc, vertebral column Evaluation of effective role of posterior longitudinal ligament in management of Traumatic Spine Injuries

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Vipul Makwana, Assistant Professor, Department of Orthopaedics, P.D.U. Medical College, Rajkot, Gujarat, INDIA. Email: dr.cgprajapati@gmail.com Received Date: 19/02/2019 Revised Date: 11/04/2019 Accepted Date: 01/06/2019 DOI: https://doi.org/10.26611/10201131



INTRODUCTION

Ligaments are fibrous bands or sheets of connective tissue linking two or more bones, cartilages or structures together. Ligaments provide stability to a joint during rest and movement. Excessive movements such as hyperextension or hyper flexion or rotations may be restricted by ligaments. In the spine, ligament help to provide structural stability. There are two primary ligament systems in the spine.1 The intrasegmental system: holds individual vertebrae together includes the ligamentum flavum, interspinous and inter transverse ligaments.²The intersegmental system: holds many vertebrae together includes the anterior and posterior longitudinal ligaments, and the supraspinous ligaments. Posterior longitudinal ligament is placed on the posterior surface of vertebral bodies in the vertebral canal attached to bodies of C2 up to sacrum. Its smooth glistening fibres are attached to intervertebral discs, lamina of hyaline cartilage and adjacent magines of vertebral bodies and not attached firmly and allow escape of basivertebral veins. At cervical and upper thoracic levels, the ligament is

How to site this article: Chirag Prajapati, Vipul Makwana, Evaluation of effective role of posterior longitudinal ligament in management of traumatic spine injuries. *MedPulse International Journal of Orthopedics*. September 2019; 11(3): 66-69. https://www.medpulse.in/Orthopedics/ broad and of uniform width but in the lower thoracic and lumbar levels it is denticulated, narrow over vertebral bodies and broad over discs. Longitudinal ligaments transfer tensile loads from bone to bone and when subjected to large loads in situ failure may occur either within the ligament or in the bone at the point of attachment and may degenerate with age. Mc Afee introduced concept of unstable burst fracture where anterior and middle column fail in compression and posterior column is disrupted. This fracture is unstable as posttraumatic kyphosis and neurodeficit may develop and PLL is ruptured. We have analyzed the role of PLL in traumatic spine injuries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study of 32 patients with acute dorsolumbar and cervical fractures admitted at hospital was carried out. Thorough clinical assessment in form of mechanism of injury, inspection and palpation of spinous process, neurological examination not forgetting perineal region was carried out. Patients were investigated in form of X-Pull/Open Mouth/Swimmer ray(Ap/Lat/Shoulder View), CT Scan, MRI, CT Scan showed pattern of bony injury like fracture of vertebral body, pedicle, laminae and retropulsed fragment in vertebral canal. MRI was done with 1.5T machine and in different view(axial, coronal, saggital) showed extent of trauma to spinal cord parenchyma, n. roots, intervertebral discs, cord oedema, contusion, laceration. Integrity of spinal ligaments specifically PL L (best seen on T2 density images) noted and relationship to outcome assessed. WHITE and PUNJABI Criteria (based upon radiological and clinical parameters) were used to identify instability in vertebral column treated (conservatively/operatively) and accordingly. Fractures are classified by McAfee and modified Magerl(AO/ASIF) classification system.

Patient Assessment

White and punjabi Criteria of instability were used when stability was still doubtful as follows.

Cervical White And Punjabi Criteria	Scoring
1.Ant element destruction	2
2.Posterior element destruction	2
3.Sagital plane translation>3,5 mm	2
4.Sagittal plane rotation>11 degree	2
5. Positive stretch test	2
6.Medullary (cord) damage	2
7.Root damage	1
8. Abnormal disc narrowing	1
9. Dangerous loading anticipated score>5 instability	1
Thoracic and t-l white/punjabi criteria	Scoring
Ant element destroyed	2
Posterior element destroyed	2
Disrupted costovertebral articulation	1
Sagittal plane displacement>2.5 mm	2

Relative sagittal plane angulation>5 degree	2
Spinal cord or cauda equine damage	2
Dangerious loading anticipated Total of 5 or more = unstable.	1
Lumbar White And Punjabi Criteria Scoring	
Ant element destroyed	2
Post element destroyed	2
Flexion Extension X Ray Criteria	
Sagittal plane translation>4.5 mm or 15 %	
Sagittal plane rotation >15 degree at L1-2,L2-3,L3-4	2
>20 degree at L4-5	2
>25 degree at L5-S1	
Resting X Ray Criteria	
Sagittal plane translation>4.5 mm or 15 %	2
Relative sagittal plane angulation>22 degree	2
Cauda equina damage	3
Dangerous loading anticipated	1

MANAGEMENT

Conservative

- Postural reduction, bed rest, bowel bladder care, proper nutrition, ambulatory bracing producing a vector opposite of injury force, analgesics, waterbed and frequent change of position, observation for neurological worsening.
- Stable injury such as compression fracture, posterior element fractures and low energy osteoporotic compression and burst fracture were treated by standard dose of methyl prednisolone within 48 hour of injury and dexamethasone in tapered dose after 48 hours of injury.
- Reduction of subluxation/dislocation of cervical spine was done after crutch field tong insertion.

Operative

- Surgery was required in unstable three column injuries and significant neurological deficits examples are fracture dislocatins, flexion distraction injuries and burst fractures with neurological deficits.
- Neurologically intact patients with compression fracture and burst fractures that have >50% loss of vertebral body ht or >30% kyphosis in DL spine are also consider candidates for surgery.
- Canal compromise >50%, scoliosis(lateral tilt>10 degree) or posterior ligament rupture,33 mm interspinous distance on lateral radiograph are other indicators of instability in DL spine.
- The posterior approach is the preferred route when there is a trauma to the thoracic and lumbar spine with neurological lesions. Anterior approach can be used to relieve severe anterior compression. When both approaches are used there was usual practice to leave a week between the two stages. First stage should be the nerve

decompression and if required bone graft can be used for facet joint fusion.

• Fixation was done using mossmiami pedicle screws and rods, Harrington rod distraction, Steffi plating, Hartshill fixation, anterior decompression and fusion with cage and bone graft.

Result

- Indirect reduction was most successful in PLL intact or assumed to be intact (intact middle column) cases compared to PLL ruptured cases(success rate of 100%).
- Ligamentotaxis was successful more often with moss Miami/steffi plate compared to H-rod or hartshill. Thus better indirect reduction occurred with devices which restore both lordosis and posterior body height e.g. moss Miami.
- Indirect reduction resulted in large defect of bone stock in 2 cases and hence bone graft by anterior route was done to prevent fatigue fracture of pedicular screws/Hrod construct.

- Attempted indirect reduction for subluxation/dislocation of cervical spine by crutchfield tongs and traction resulted in neurological deterioration in 1 case, in which PLL was found ruptured on MRI/intra operatively and cord /root compression by extruded disc had to be dealt with...
- There was no need of compression/distraction in slice fracture and articular process fracture, rotational correction was more important. Hence there was no difference in results whether moss Miami or hartshill was used.
- Most people with spinal cord dysfunction presented with the cord intact. Cord injuries as detected by MRI and intra operative findings suggest 10 cases of contusions or bruising of the cord, 10 cases of compression injures having pressure on the cord, 6 cases of laceration or tearing, 2 cases of central cord syndrome and 4 cases of complete severing.

Table 2: Treatment According to Fracture Pattern				
Fracture Pattern	Total	A=Conserved	PLL I=Intact	
	No	B=Operated	R=Ruptured	
Wedge Compression Stable Burst Fractures	14	A=8	I=8 R=0	
	14	B=6	I=4 R=1 Unknown=1	
Unstable Burst	10	A=0	I-0 P-10	
		B=10	1-0 K=10	
Change	04	A=0	1-0 R-4	
Chance	04	04	B=4	1-0 K-4
Slice	08	A=4	I=4 R=0	
		B=4	I=0 R=4	
Articular Process Fracture	OF	A=1	I=1 R=0	
	05	B=4	I=1 R=3	
Subluxation/Dislocation	07	A=3	I=2 R=1	
	07	B=4	I=0 R=4	

DISCUSSION

In our experience of spine fractures very minor cases can be treated with bed rest and physiotherapy; 30 % of lesions can be managed with closed treatment; and only 60 % will require surgery. This 60 % which is a high figure can be explained by the fact that ours is a tertiary reference center where cases which require operative treatment mainly are referred. It should be emphasised that no treatment can be implemented safely unless a sufficiently skilled surgical team, sufficiently competent paramedical personnel and adequate equipment are available. Newer imaging techniques have aided the evaluation and treatment of the spine and spinal cord injuries particularly CT (bony) and MRI (particularly PLL and posterior annulus). PLL along with posterior aspect of body of vertebra, annulus fibrosus (posterior) forms dennis middle column which is crucial in determining stability of spine in fracture spine. Distraction posteriorly requires intact ALL(to prevent over distraction-anatomical hinge) with or without intact PLL but for indirect reduction of fracture fragments retropulsed into spinal canal by ligamentotaxis intact PLL is required. If PLL is ruptured surgeon considered either anterior or posterior approach to directly decompress spinal canal and fusion rather than relying on posterior distraction and fusion alone. For ligamentotaxis instrumentation should apply both extension movement and axial distraction. an Harrington rod system tends to produce posterior distraction leading to kyphosis and hence should not be preferred in lower lumbar spine. Indirect reduction was more successful for fracture DL junction than lower

lumbar spine. Intraoperative radiographs to confirm that sagittal plane alignment has been restored without over distraction was done to avoid cord damage. Better is C Arm. Postop CT scan to confirm adequacy of canal restoration was done in few cases only. Failure to achieve adequate canal decompression posteriorly necessitated secondary anterior decompression and strut reconstruction/cage in 16.66% cases. Ligamentotaxis only was tried in fresh cases and canal compromise <68% as it has been proved beyond doubt by earlier studies that ligamentotaxis succeeds in fresh cases and with less than 68 % canal compromise. Better indirect reduction occurred with devices that restored both lordosis and posterior body height, eg pedicle screw and rod fixation assembly. If reduction resulted in large defect of bone stock in vertebral body(in 16.66%cases), it was necessary to fill anterior defect with anterior corpectomy and bone graft. This prevented fatigue fracture of pedicular screws/Harrington rod construct. In case of very comminuted fracture with neurological lesion both anterior and posterior approaches are required. Anterior vertebral body excision and grafting was done primarily or become necessary in certain burst fracture with or without intact PLL which presented late and had >68% canal compromise. In flexion distraction injuries when posterior and middle column(including PLL) failed by ligamentous disruption posterior spinal arthrodesis with compression system was used. However, in cases in which middle column (including PLL) was determined to be incapable of preventing retropulsion of bone or disc fragments in to spinal canal combined anterior and posterior approach was used.

CONCLUSION

PLL is often adequately visualised on MRI particularly if ruptured and lifted up from posterior aspect of vertebral body. It forms important structure stabilising spine along with ALL and ligamentum flavum and other ligaments, helps in resisting excess of flexion, lateral bending and spinal rotation to lesser extent. It aids in indirect reduction of fracture fragments by ligamentotaxis. Reduction of cervical spine subluxation/dislocation by skeleton traction through crutchfield tongs should not be tried with ruptured PLL(as disc extrusion may occur causing cord compression). With rupture of PLL evident on MRI anterior decompression and fusion in addition to posterior instrumentation should be strongly considered as it is strong indicator of instability in traumatic spine injuries which cannot be tackled only by posterior instrumentation. PLL is ruptured most often in unstable mechanism), chance burst fractures(compression fractures (tension) and translational injuries. It fail rarely if ever due to rotation and extension.

REFERENCES

- Dickson JH, Harrington PR, Erwin WD. Results of reduction and stabilisation of the severely fractured thoracic spine. JBJS 1978;60A:799-805
- 2. Holdsworth F. Fracture, dislocations, and fracturedislocation of spine. J Bone Joint Surg 1970;52A:1534-51.
- 3. Denis F. The three column concept in spine. Spine 1983;8:817-37.
- 4. Gray H. Textbook of Anatomy. Philadelphia, PA: Lea & Febiger; 1985.
- Canale ST, Beaty JH. Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics. 12th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Mosby; 2012.
- 6. ICMR Bull 2003;48:39-48
- Rockwood and Greens Textbook of Orthopaedics. Vol. 14. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: 2006. p. 91-108.
- 8. Watson-Jones R. Classification of thoracolumbar fracture. Spine 2008;29:655-9.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared