Original Research Article

Role of magnetic resonance imaging in evaluation of adnexal masses: A cross sectional study at tertiary care hospital

Santosh K Dasar¹, Rajeev B Dibbad^{2*}, Vinayak Tonne³

^{1,2}Associate Professor, ³Assistant Professor, Department of Radiodiagnosis, SDM College of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Sattur, Dharwad-580009. Karnataka, India.

Email: drsantoshdasar@gmail.com, drrajeevdibbad@gmail.com, vinayak146@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Magnetic resonance imaging helps in characterization of adnexal masses that are not completely evaluated by ultrasound as it can provide additional information on soft tissue composition of adnexal masses based on specific tissue relaxation times. Aim: To study the role of magnetic resonance imaging in evaluation of adnexal masses. Material and Methods: A total of 40 patients were studied, Magnetic resonance imaging of pelvis was performed with GE Signa 1.5 Tesla MRI machine. A pelvic phased array coil was used in most cases, in cases where lesions were large; a body coil was used for better coverage. Intravenous contrast was given as and when necessary. Results: MRI accurately diagnosed 5 ineterminate cases which correlated with histopathology report. Conclusion: MRI is helpful in detecting malignant potential of particular lesion and thus plays a role in oncological staging which to a great extent helps in treatment planning and management.

Keywords: Adnexal masses, magnetic resonance imaging, sensitivity, specificity, accuracy

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr Rajeev B Dibbad, Associate Professor, Department of Radiodiagnosis, SDM College of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Sattur, Dharwad-580009. Karnataka, India.

Email: drrajeevdibbad@gmail.com

Received Date: 12/11/2019 Revised Date: 21/12/2019 Accepted Date: 30/01/2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.26611/10131336

Access this article online Quick Response Code: Website: www.medpulse.in Accessed Date: 02 March 2020

INTRODUCTION

Adnexal masses are a common clinical problem. The incidence of women undergoing surgery for suspicious adnexal masses ranges from 5 to 10%, of which less than 25% prove to be malignant. Since there is considerable difference between these types of surgery, it is important to make an appropriate diagnosis. So, imaging studies are done to differentiate malignant and benign diseases so as to plan the appropriate treatment protocol. Imaging facilitates optimal differentiation of benign from malignant

adnexal masses and thus guides in appropriate subspecialty referral, necessary preoperative planning and counselling of the patient.² Magnetic resonance imaging helps in characterization of adnexal masses that are not completely evaluated by ultrasound as it can provide additional information on soft tissue composition of adnexal masses based on specific tissue relaxation times. It also allows multiplanar imaging to define the origin and extent of pelvic pathology. The present cross sectional study was conducted to study the role of magnetic resonance imaging in evaluation of adnexal masses.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

In this cross sectional / prospective study, the patients who referred to the department of Radio-diagnosis, with clinically suspected adnexal mass, detected with adnexal mass incidentally on ultrasonography or patients with adnexal masses with indeterminate/inconclusive diagnosis on ultrasonography were included. A detailed clinical history and a written informed consent were obtained from the patients.

How to cite this article: Santosh K Dasar, Rajeev B Dibbad, Vinayak Tonne. Role of magnetic resonance imaging in evaluation of adnexal masses: A cross sectional study at tertiary care hospital. *MedPulse International Journal of Radiology*. March 2020; 13(3): 122-125. http://www.medpulse.in/Radio%20Diagnosis/

Inclusion criteria

- 1. Clinically suspected cases of adnexal mass lesions.
- 2. Adnexal mass lesions found incidentally on ultrasonography.
- Adnexal masses with indeterminate / inconclusive diagnosis on ultrasonography.

Exclusion criteria

- 1. All midline uterine mass lesions
- Clinically and sonologically proved cases of ectopic pregnancy.
- All Patients having cardiac pacemakers, prosthetic heart valves, cochlear implants or any metallic implants.

Sample size

Based on the figures available on the sensitivity of Ultrasonography and Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the literature³ and with 95% confidence and 20% precision, the estimated sample size was 40 patients and our study included 40 patients. Magnetic resonance imaging of pelvis was performed with GE Signa 1.5 Tesla MRI machine. A pelvic phased array coil was used in most cases, in cases where lesions were large; a body coil was used for better coverage. Intravenous contrast was given as and when necessary. The patients were followed up with histopathology, surgical / operative findings and follow up imaging.

Following sequences were used in the study

- 1. Axial T1weighted spin echo sequence utilized a TR of 900 ms, TE 18 ms, field of view (FOV) 37 cm, slice thickness 6mm, spacing 1.5-2.0 mm, NEX 3.0, 512X256 matrix.
- 2. Axial T2 weighted fast spin echo sequence utilised a TR 5200 ms, TE 42 ms, FOV 26 cm, slice thickness 6mm, spacing 1.5-2.0 mm, NEX 4.0, bandwidth- 62.5, 512x256 matrix.
- 3. Sagittal T2 weighted fast spin echo sequence from one femoral head to the other utilized a TR of 3740 ms, TE 110 ms, FOV 26 cm, slice thickness 6.0 mm, spacing 1.5 mm, NEX 4.0, bandwidth 41.67, 512x256 matrix.
- 4. Coronal T2 weighted fast spin echo sequence utilized a TR 3540 ms, TE 78 ms, FOV 39 cm ,slice thickness 6.0 mm, spacing 1.5-2.0mm,NEX 4.0, bandwidth 62.5, 512x256 matrix.
- 5. Fat suppressed spoiled gradient echo T1weighted images before and after contrast administration were obtained in axial, sagittal and coronal planes by utilising a TR 1080, TE 18, FOV 37, slice thickness 6.0-7.0 mm, spacing 1.5-2.0 mm, NEX 1.00, 256x160 matrix.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using a student t test/ z test. Descriptive statistical values including sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and accuracy were determined.

RESULTS

This study comprised of females between 18 to 66 years with mean age of 40.85 years. Benign lesions were found mainly in the age group of 21-30 years, whereas malignant lesions were found mainly in the age group of 50-70 years. In the present study more number of benign lesions 30 of 40 cases (75%) were detected than malignant lesions 10 of 40 cases (25%). MRI accurately diagnosed 5 ineterminate cases which correlated with histopathology report.

Table 1: MRI Diagnosis

MRI diagnosis	Number (40)	%
Serous cystadenoma	6	15
Mucinous cystadenoma	3	7.5
Serous cystadenocarcinoma	4	10
Mucinous cystadenocarcioma	2	5
Solid benign ovarian tumors	2	5
Other carciomas	3	7.5
Dermoid	3	7.5
Pedunculated/subserosal fibroid	3	7.5
Hemorrhagic cyst	2	5
Peritoneal inclusion cyst	1	2.5
Hydrosalphinx	1	2.5
Tubo-ovarian abscess	1	2.5
Ovarian torsion	2	5
Simple ovarian cyst	3	7.5
Endometriosis	3	7.5
Indeterminate	1	2.5

Out of all the benign lesions, most common lesion was serous cystadenoma of ovary (n=6) out of which 5 were easily diagnosed by both ultrasound and MRI. One case of serous cystadenoma was misinterpreted as simple ovarian cyst on ultrasonography. However, MRI correctly diagnosed it as serous cystadenoma due to the presence of thin septations. Next common benign cystic lesion diagnosed was mucinous cystadenoma of ovary (n=3) which were diagnosed by using ultrasound as well as MRI because of its internal echoes and T1 hyper intensity. Two cases of serous cystadenocarcinoma and one case of mucinous cystadenocarcinoma were wrongly diagnosed as benign serous cystadenoma and mucinous cystadenoma respectively on USG. However, on MRI, the lesions were categorised as malignancy due to the presence of thick walls, septations and papillary projections. In 30 cases (75%), both USG and MRI gave same diagnosis. However, there was discrepancies in the characterization of the lesions in 10 (25%) cases. Two cases of ovarian torsion were correctly diagnosed by MRI and confirmed with operative findings. Three cases of simple ovarian cysts

were accurately diagnosed by MRI and confirmed with follow up study.

Table 2: MRI for benign lesions

Table 2. With for benign resions				
Benign				
	Disease present	Disease absent		
Positive	29	1	30	
Negative	1	9	10	
Total	30	10	40	

Table 3: MRI for malignant lesions

Malignant				
	Disease present	Disease absent		
Positive	9	1	10	
Negative	1	29	30	
Total	10	30	40	

Table 4: Sensitivity and specificity of magnetic resonance imaging

MRI diagnosis	Benign	Malignant
Sensitivity %	96.6	90.0
Specificity %	90.0	96.6
PPV %	96.0	90.0
NPV %	90.0	96.0
Accuracy %	95.0	95

MRI accurately diagnosed cystic lesions as dermoid cyst by demonstrating the solid component as fat, which appeared hyperintense on T1W and was suppressed on T1FAT SAT images. MRI could also correctly diagnose complex lesion as endometrioma by demonstrating the solid component as blood clot which appeared hyperintense on T1W, T1FAT SAT images and hypointense on T2W images. Both the cases displayed no enhancing solid tissue on MRI. A case of malignant germ cell tumour/dysgerminoma was misdiagnosed as benign solid ovarian tumour MRI because of its small size, well defined margins, and homogenous echotecture. The lesion appeared hypointense on T2W images and showed enhancement on post contrast study. Two cases of dermoid cyst were diagnosed correctly by MRI because of its hyper echoic fat content. On fat-sat MRI, presence of fat was confirmed. There are three cases of endometriomas in this study, out of which only one was diagnosed correctly on USG by recognition of fluid-fluid levels within the cyst. MRI was required in other two cases to accurately characterize the lesion. One case of tubo-ovarian abscess and another case of peritoneal inclusion cyst was recognised on MRI and its benign nature was identified with no obvious difficulty. One case of hydrosalphinx was accurately diagnosed on USG because of its tubular shape and incomplete septations which correlated with MRI findings.

DISCUSSION

Adnexal masses present as a diagnostic challenge. The benign adnexal masses are much more in number compared to the malignant ones. Determination of appropriate suspicion for malignancy is critical and is based largely on imaging appearance.⁴ In the present study more number of benign lesions 30 of 40 cases (75%) were detected than malignant lesions 10 of 40 cases (25%), which is in concordance with the study conducted by Sohaib et al.. where 43 (60%) had benign lesions and 29 (40%) had malignant masses.⁵ Three cases of subserosal/pedunculated fibroids are present in this study, out of which only one case was diagnosed and confirmed by MRI. One case of pedunculated fibroid with cystic degeneration and another case of pedunculated fibroid was misdiagnosed on USG. However, in both the cases MRI could demonstrate the ovaries separately and accurately recognise the organ of origin. This is in concordance with the study conducted by Adusumilli S et al..1 where in Ultrasonography had poor agreement in determining the origin of 17 of 20 uterine cases in which MRI was the problem solving tool in recognising organ of origin. MRI could also characterize both the lesions accurately because of its hypointense signal intensity on T2W image. The multiplanar imaging capability of MRI allows accurate identification of the origin of adnexal mass lesions.² Of the six indeterminate cases, MRI could accurately diagnose 5 cases which correlated with histopathology findings. One was diagnosed as endometrioma, one as pedunculated fibroid with cystic degeneration, one as hemorrhagic cyst, one as pedunculated fibroid and one as serous cystadenoma. However, one case which was indeterminate on both USG and MRI was a large broad ligament fibroid which was diagnosed as solid benign ovarian tumour in a post- menopausal woman because neither ovary were identified. In our study, for characterizing the lesions as benign the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of MRI were 96.6%, 90.0% and 95% respectively. For characterizing the detected lesions as a malignant lesion, the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of MRI were 90.0%, 96.6% and 95% respectively This is similar to study conducted by Sohaib et al. where in the specificity for malignant lesions of MRI was 83.7%.5 Excellent agreement was seen between MR findings and the final diagnosis in the aspect of origin, tissue content and tissue characteristics of the masses. Our study confirms the high detection rate and accurate characterization of the adnexal lesions that are possible using MRI, which is similar to a study conducted by Sohaib et al.5 In our study, MRI has shown to be more specific and accurate for characterizing adnexal masses as it provides excellent contrast resolution, resulting in accurate tissue characterization and improved anatomic delineation.

CONCLUSION

MRI is helpful in detecting malignant potential of particular lesion and thus plays a role in oncological staging which to a great extent helps in treatment planning and management. It helps in further evaluation of indeterminate cases and cases with suspicious malignancy which was not diagnosed on USG.

REFERENCES

- Adusumilli S, Hussain HK, Caoili EM, et al. MRI of sonographically indeterminate adnexal masses. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2006;187(3):732-740.
- Sohaib SAA, Sahdev A, Van Trappen P, Jacobs IJ, Reznek RH. Characterization of adnexal lesions on MR imaging. AJR 2003;180:1297-1304.
- Ash Saini, Robert Diana, et al. Characterization of adnexal masses with MRI. AJR 2005; 184(3):1004-1009.
- Jeong YY, Outwater EK, Kang HK. Imaging evaluation of ovarian masses. Radio Graphics 2000;20:1445-1470.
- Sohaib SA, Mills TD, Sahdev A, et al. The role of magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound in patients with adnexal masses. Clin Radiol 2005; 60(3):340–348.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared

