Ultrasound detection of nuchal cord and its effects on labour and neonatal outcome

Ravi Kumar^{1*}, Dileep K²

¹Senior Resident, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Radiology, Apollo Medical College and Research Institute, Chittoor, INDIA. Email: <u>raviradio2k11@gmail.com</u>

<u>Abstract</u>

Background: A nuchal cord occurs when the umbilical cord becomes wrapped around the foetal neck 360°. The diagnosis of a nuchal cord is made, when there is a suspicion due to the presence of variable decelerations in the fetal heart rate on the cardiotocograph (CTG) during labour. The present study is undertaken to know the prenatal diagnosis of nuchal cord and its effects on the labour and neonate. **Materials and Methods:** It is a prospective comparative study done in a district hospital attached to the Apollo institute of medical sciences and research, Chittoor between March 2019 to February 2020. A total of 200 women after 36 weeks of gestation were included and studied variation in the type of delivery and neonatal factors by calculating relative risk. **Results:** Nuchal cord was present in 37 (18.5%) babies after delivery. The sensitivity of ultrasound detection of nuchal cord prior to delivery is 37.8% and the specificity is 79.8%. The risk of caesarean section is high in women who had given birth to babies with nuchal cord, but difference is not significant. Nuchal cord at birth has no effect on APGAR scores of the babies at 1 minute or 5 minutes, abnormal cardiotocography, admission into neonatal intensive care unit and arterial cord pH less than 7.2. **Conclusions:** Prenatal diagnosis of nuchal cord through ultrasound after 36 weeks of gestation is of limited use as the sensitivity is low. The presence of nuchal cord at birth does not appear to increase the risk of caesarean section or of poor neonatal outcome. **Key Words:** Nuchal cord, Ultrasound, labour, neonatal outcome

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr Ravi Kumar, Department of Radiology, Apollo Medical College, Chittoor. A. P, INDIA. **Email:** <u>raviradio2k11@gmail.com</u> Received Date: 14 /10/2020 Revised Date: 15/11/2020 Accepted date. 16/11/2020 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.26611/10131631</u>

This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License</u>.

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:	Website			
	www.medpulse.in			
	Accessed Date: 16 December 2020			

INTRODUCTION

A nuchal cord occurs when the umbilical cord becomes wrapped around the foetal neck 360°.¹ It is a common finding at delivery. The prevalence at delivery has been reported as being between 6% and 37% and the incidence may increase steeply after 38 weeks.^{2,3} Around 25–50% of nuchal cords formed at any one time will resolve prior to delivery.⁴ About 20%–60% of all foetal deaths are

attributed to foetal asphyxia.⁵ However, aetiology in all cases of foetal asphyxia is not clearly established. Asphyxia is most commonly seen as a result of reduced placental circulation, but rarely, it might be secondary to umbilical cord complications. Nuchal cord accident comprises 5%–18% of all foetal asphyxia cases, and 10% of stillbirth were due to umbilical cord complications.⁶ The diagnosis of a nuchal cord is made, when there is a suspicion due to the presence of variable decelerations in the fetal heart rate on the cardiotocograph (CTG) during labour, particularly if there is 'shouldering' or if they are of a 'double variable' or 'W pattern'.⁷ Other indirect methods of diagnosis have been described by demonstrating fetal heart rate changes following application of manual compression of the fetal neck abdominally or in response to acoustic vibratory stimulation.^{8,9} Ultrasound diagnosis of a nuchal cord was first described in 1982 by Jouppila and Kirkinen¹⁰ and since then there have been a very few case reports in which ultrasound diagnosis has aided obstetric

How to cite this article: Ravi Kumar, Dileep K. Ultrasound detection of nuchal cord and its effects on labour and neonatal outcome. *MedPulse International Journal of Radiology*. December 2020; 16(3): 48-51. <u>http://www.medpulse.in/Radio%20Diagnosis/</u>

management. So, the present study is undertaken to know the prenatal diagnosis of nuchal cord and its effects on the labour and neonate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

It is a prospective comparative study done in a district hospital attached to the Apollo institute of medical sciences and research, Chittoor between March 2019 to February 2020. A total of 200 women after 36 weeks of gestation were included in to the study among which 47 were having nuchal cord around the neck at least three of the four sides of the neck and remaining 153 were not having nuchal cord in transabdominal ultrasound scan by using an Aloka 1700 ultrasound machine (Aloka Co. Ltd, Mitaka-shi, Tokyo, Japan) with a 3.5-MHz abdominal probe. All the ultrasound scans were performed by the single radiologist and the information wasn't revealed to women or clinician. After delivery of the baby, patients were grouped into Patients delivered a baby with nuchal cord and without nuchal cord and studied variation in the type of delivery and neonatal factors. Informed consent was obtained from the women for including into the study and Institutional ethical committee permission was obtained before starting of the study. Information was collected in predesigned questionnaire and entered into Microsoft excel. Data was analysed using SPSS statistics 21.0 version. Results were expressed in proportions and percentages and relative risk was calculated to assess the risk.

RESULTS

Nuchal cord was present in 37 (18.5%) babies after delivery. Among which 30 (15%) babies have single

loop, 6 (3%) babies have double loop and 1 (0.5%) baby have three loops of cord noticed around the neck after delivery. In table 1 it was shown that the sensitivity of ultrasound detection of nuchal cord prior to delivery is 37.8% and the specificity is 79.8%. In table 2 it was shown that, among 37 babies born with nuchal cord, 15 (40.5%) babies delivered by caesarean section, 2 (5.4%)delivered by forceps delivery due to foetal distress and 20 (54.1%) delivered by normal vaginal delivery without any assistance and among 163 babies born without nuchal cord, 59 (36.2%) babies delivered by caesarean section, 10 (6.1%) delivered by forceps delivery due to foetal distress and 94 (57.7%) delivered by normal vaginal delivery without any assistance. Though the risk of caesarean section is high in women who had given birth to babies with nuchal cord, difference is not significant. In table 3 it was shown that, 6(16.2%) babies born with nuchal cord and 21 (12.9%) babies without nuchal cord has APGAR score less than 7 at 1 minute and 1 (2.7%) baby born with nuchal cord and 3 (1.8%)babies without nuchal cord has APGAR score less than 7 at 5 minutes after birth. Abnormal cardiotocography was seen in 12 (32.4%) babies with nuchal cord and 49 (30.1%) babies without nuchal cord. Around 5 (13.5%) babies with nuchal cord and 16 (9.8%) babies without nuchal cord has been admitted into neonatal intensive care unit. Around 6 (16.2%) babies with nuchal cord and 29 (17.8%) babies without nuchal cord has arterial cord pH less than 7.2. Nuchal cord at birth has no effect on APGAR scores of the babies at 1 minute or 5 minutes, abnormal cardiotocography, admission into neonatal intensive care unit and arterial cord pH less than 7.2. None of the neonates born with nuchal cord has been died in the follow-up period of 1 year.

Cord on ultrasound	Nuchal cord at delivery		Total
	Present	Absent	•
Present	14 (37.8%)	33 (20.2%)	47 (23.5%)
	(True positives)	(False positives)	
Absent	23 (62.2%)	130 (79.8%)	153 (76.5%)
	(False negatives)	(True negatives)	
Total	37 (18.5%)	163 (81.5%)	200 (100%)
	(Total positives)	(Total negatives)	
Sensitivity		37.8%	
Specificity		79.8%	
Positive predictive value		29.8%	
Negative predictive value		85%	

 Table 1: The effectiveness of ultrasound in detecting the nuchal cord prior to the labour

 Table 2: Association of nuchal cord at delivery and mode of delivery

Mode of delivery	Nuchal cord at delivery		Relative risk
	Present (n=37)	Absent (n=163)	(95% CI)
Caesarean section	15 (40.5%)	59 (36.2%)	1.12 (0.72-1.74)
Instrumental delivery	2 (5.4%)	10 (6.1%)	0.88 (0.20-3.85)
Normal vaginal delivery	20 (54.1%)	94 (57.7%)	1.94 0.68-1.30)

Tuble 9.7.550clation of nachar cord at derivery and neonatar outcome					
Neonatal outcome	Nuchal cord at delivery		Relative risk		
	Present (n=37)	Absent (n=163)	(95% CI)		
APGAR score <7 at 1 min	6 (16.2%)	21 (12.9%)	1.26 (0.55-2.90)		
APGAR score <7 at 5 min	1 (2.7%)	3 (1.8%)	1.50 (0.16-14.1)		
Abnormal cardiotocography	12 (32.4%)	49 (30.1%)	1.08 (0.64-1.82)		
at delivery					
Admission into NICU	5 (13.5%)	16 (9.8%)	1.38 (0.54-3.52)		
Arterial cord PH<7.2	6 (16.2%)	29 (17.8%)	0.91 (0.41-2.04)		

Table 3: Association of nuchal cord at delivery and neonatal outcome

DISCUSSION

The present study was undertaken to know the effectiveness of using trans-abdominal ultrasound in detecting nuchal cord prior to the delivery after 36 weeks of gestation and found the sensitivity of 37.8% and the specificity is 79.8%, similar to the findings of Peregrine et al.¹¹ study. These two studies have lower sensitivity compared to other studies because the ultrasound scan was done after 36 weeks of pregnancy at which fetal crowding, low position of the fetal head or reduced amniotic fluid volume is common to create problem to visualize nuchal cord.¹² Present study reported that there is no significant risk of having emergency caesarean section in babies born with nuchal cord at delivery. But in Jauniaux et al.¹³ and Rhoades et al.¹⁴ studies, it was shown that the risk of having caesarean section is more in babies born with nuchal cord. The difference was missed in the present study may be due to less sample size. Present study also reported presence of nuchal cord at birth has no effect on APGAR scores of the babies at 1 minute or 5 minutes, abnormal cardiotocography, admission into neonatal intensive care unit and arterial cord pH less than 7.2. Similarly, studies done by Jauniaux et al.13 and Carey el al15 also reported no increase in the risk of stillbirth, especially if risk factors such as hypertension, abruption, diabetes, premature rupture of the membranes, oligohydramnios and major fetal anomalies are controlled. Irrespective of this some retrospective studies have been reported poor neonatal outcome and an increase in perinatal mortality.^{16,17} Collins JH has suggested that the nuchal cords which are 'locked', where the placental end passes under the umbilical end rather than 'unlocked' are more often associated with a poor outcome,¹⁸ but this has not been demonstrated on ultrasound. It may be that the presence of other associated features such as reduced fetal movements or other markers of concern about fetal wellbeing will identify fetuses at risk.

CONCLUSIONS

Prenatal diagnosis of nuchal cord through ultrasound after 36 weeks of gestation is of limited use as the sensitivity is low. The presence of nuchal cord at birth does not appear to increase the risk of caesarean section or of poor neonatal outcome. Further, the study is recommending that further research should be directed towards improving the diagnostic techniques available for identifying nuchal cords in order to detect maximum number of cases with nuchal cord to limit the false negatives to minimum level.

REFERENCES

- Fetus or Newborn Problems: Labour and Delivery Complications: Merck Manual Home Edition. Available from:<u>https://www.ncbi.nlm</u>. nih.gov/pubmed/15543520.
- Shui KP, Eastman NJ. Coiling of the umbilical cord around the foetal neck. J Obstet Gynaecol Br Emp 1957; 64: 227–228.
- 3. Crawford JS. Cord around the neck: further analysis of incidence. Acta Paediatr 1964; **53**: 553–557
- Collins JH, Collins CL, Weckwerth SR, De Angelis L. Nuchal cords: timing of prenatal diagnosis and duration. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1995; 173: 768
- Singer DB, Macpherson T. Fetal death and macerated stillborn fetus. In: Wigglesworth JS, Singer DB, editors. Textbook of Fetal and Perinatal Pathology. Vol. 1. Boston: Blackwell Scientific Publication; 1991. p. 266-7.
- Hansen HS, Hillersborg B. Antepartum looping of the umbilical cord. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1988;67:475-6.
- Simmons JN, Rufleth P, Lewis PE. Identification of nuchal cords during nonstress testing. J Reprod Med 1985; 30: 97–100
- Mendez-Bauer C, Troxell RM, Roberts JE, Firman SM, Dubois JF, Menendez A, Freese UE. A clinical test for diagnosing nuchal cords. J Reprod Med 1987; 32: 924– 927
- Sherer DM, Abramowicz JS, Hearn-Stebbins B, Woods JR. Sonographic verification of a nuchal cord following a vibratory acoustic stimulation-induced severe variable fetal heart rate deceleration with expedient abdominal delivery. Am J Perinatol 1991; 8: 345–346.
- Jouppila P, Kirkinen P. Ultrasonic diagnosis of nuchal encirclement by the umbilical cord: a case and methodological report. J Clin Ultrasound 1982; 10: 59– 62.
- Peregrine E, O'Brien P, Jauniaux E. Ultrasound detection of nuchal cord prior to labor induction and the risk of Cesarean section. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2005; 25: 160-164
- 12. Qin Y, Wang CC, Lau TK, Rogers MS. Colour ultrasonography: a useful technique in the identification

of nuchal cord during labor. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2000; 15: 413–417.

- 13. Jauniaux E, Ramsey B, Peellaerts C, Scholler Y. Perinatal features of pregnancies complicated by nuchal cord. Am J Perinatol 1995; 12: 255–258.
- Rhoades DA, Latza U, Mueller BA. Risk factors and outcomes associated with nuchal cord. A populationbased study. J Reprod Med 1999; 44: 39–45.
- Carey JC, Rayburn WF. Nuchal cord encirclements and risk of stillbirth. Int J Gynecol Obstet 2000; 69: 173–174
- Dhar KK, Ray SN, Dhall GI. Significance of nuchal cord. J Indian Med Assoc 1995; 93: 451–453.
- 17. Adinma JIB. Effect of cord entanglement on pregnancy outcome. Int J Gynecol Obstet 1990; 32: 15–18.
- Collins JH. Nuchal cord type A and type B. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997; 177: 94.

